SUMMARY: ... My topic relates to terminology and specifically to the use of the word "alien," a term of art used extensively in discussing the legal rights of immigrants in the United States. ... Many have expressed general concerns with the alien terminology in immigration law. ... Many alternatives to the term alien exist, including "person," "immigrant," or "undocumented worker." ... Alexander Bickel perhaps said it best in the context of analyzing citizenship: "It has always been easier, it always will be easier, to think of someone as a noncitizen than to decide that he is a nonperson ... ." In Stephanie Wildman's words, "language veils the existence of systems of privilege." ... Many nuances of immigration law make it extremely difficult to distinguish between an "illegal" and a "legal" alien. ... The vaguely defined, but emotionally powerful, illegal alien terminology also fails to distinguish between the different types of undocumented persons in the United States. ... In focusing on the "illegal alien" as a Mexican immigrant, the U.S. Supreme Court decisions are replete with negative imagery about undocumented immigration from Mexico. ... Unlike past anti-immigrant eras, it is generally considered impermissible to expressly rely on race as a reason for restricting immigration. ... For example, Proposition 187 supporters claimed initially that, despite the anti-Mexican overtones to the campaign, they were not anti-immigrant but only anti-illegal alien and only wanted to limit benefits to "illegal aliens." ...

I. INTRODUCTION

International human rights issues in the United States obviously are shaped by many factors. My focus in this essay is on an issue that, at first glance, might appear insignificant. Nonetheless, it greatly influences thinking in the United States about acceptance of immigrants from other countries as well as this nation's response to refugees fleeing human rights abuses in their homelands.

My topic relates to terminology and specifically to the use of the word "alien," a term of art used extensively in discussing the legal rights of immigrants in the United States. By definition, aliens are...
outsiders to the national community. Even if they have lived in this country for many years, have had children here, and work and have deep community ties in the United States, noncitizens remain aliens, an institutionalized "other," different and apart from "us."

The classification of persons as aliens, as opposed to citizens, has significant legal, social, and political importance. Citizens have a large bundle of political and civil rights, many of which are guaranteed by the U.S. Constitution; aliens have a much smaller bundle and enjoy far fewer constitutional and statutory protections. Citizens can vote and enjoy other political rights, such as jury service. Aliens, no matter what their ties to the community, enjoy limited political rights. They cannot vote and risk deportation if they engage in certain political activities that, if they were citizens, would be constitutionally protected. Noncitizens cannot sit on juries deciding the fate of fellow noncitizens charged with crimes, thereby ensuring that the jury most definitely will not reflect a cross section of the community.

Perhaps most importantly, aliens can be deported from the country while citizens cannot be. For example, under the immigration laws, an alien convicted of possession of more than thirty grams of marijuana may be deported, while a citizen convicted of mass murder cannot be.

The concept of the alien has more subtle social consequences as well. Most importantly, it helps to reinforce and strengthen nativist sentiment toward members of new immigrant groups, which in turn influences U.S. responses to immigration and human rights issues. Aliens have long been unpopular in the United States, though the particular disfavored group has varied over time. Over two centuries ago, the courts of the various states were perceived as being unfair in the treatment of the British, especially British creditors. Consequently, Article III of the U.S. Constitution authorized the federal courts to hear disputes between noncitizens and citizens, known from its time of creation as "alienage" jurisdiction. Demonstrating the artificiality of the construct, the British, who colonized and at one time ruled the region that became the United States, were socially transformed into aliens by loss of the Revolutionary War. The British, however, were not the only relevant aliens in this nation's early history. The Federalists in the late 1790s pressed for passage of the now infamous Alien and Sedition Acts in order to halt the migration of radical ideas from France and to cut off the burgeoning support for the Republican Party offered by new immigrants.

Animosity toward other groups of aliens has occurred sporadically in U.S. history. Irish immigrants in the 1800s were the subject of hostility. Near the end of the nineteenth century, Chinese immigrants suffered violence and bore the brunt of a wave of draconian federal immigration laws. Animosity directed at Japanese immigrants, as well as citizens of Japanese ancestry, culminated in their internment during World War II.

As this sequence of historical events suggests, race has influenced the social and legal construction of the alien. Although the British may have been one of the nation's first groups of unpopular aliens, the term increasingly became associated with people of color. Some restrictionist laws, such as those passed by Congress in the late 1800s barring almost all Chinese immigration, were expressly race-based. Others were more subtle in their impact. Before 1952, for example, the law barred most nonwhite immigrants from naturalizing to become citizens, thereby forever relegating noncitizens of color to alien status and effectively defining them as permanent outsiders in U.S. society. This essay will explain how the word "aliens" today often is code for immigrants of color, which has been facilitated by the changing racial demographics of immigration.

Many have expressed general concerns with the alien terminology in immigration law. Hiroshi Motomura noted that the "term 'alien' is standard usage, but ... [has] a distancing effect and somewhat pejorative connotation." Gerald Neuman has observed that "it is no coincidence that we still refer to noncitizens as 'aliens,' a term that calls attention to their 'otherness,' and even associates them with nonhuman invaders from outer space." Gerald Rosberg acknowledged that "the very word, 'alien,' calls to mind someone strange and out of place, and it has often been used in a distinctly pejorative way.” Despite such
concerns, the term is regularly used, often with some reluctance or at least the felt need for explanation, in immigration discourse. n17 This is the almost inevitable result of the fact that the alien is the nucleus around which the comprehensive immigration law, the Immigration and Nationality Act, n18 is built.

[*268] Race as a social construction has been thoroughly analyzed. n19 However, surprisingly little has been written about how the alien is socially constructed as well. The alien is made up out of whole cloth. The alien represents a body of rules passed by Congress and reinforced by popular culture. It is society, often through the law, which defines who is an alien, an institutionalized "other," and who is not. It is society through Congress and the courts that determines which rights to afford aliens. There is no inherent requirement, however, that society have a category of aliens at all. n20 We could dole out political rights and obligations depending on residence in the community, which is how the public education and tax systems generally operate in the United States. Indeed, a few have advocated extending the franchise to noncitizen residents of this country, a common practice in a number of states and localities at the beginning of the twentieth century. n21

Many alternatives to the term alien exist, including "person," "immigrant," or "undocumented worker." My point in this essay, however, is not to offer an alternative terminology. Rather, my hope is to illustrate how the term alien masks the privilege of citizenship and helps to justify the legal status quo.

Similar to the social construction of race, which legitimizes racial subordination, the construction of the alien has justified the fact that our legal system offers noncitizens limited rights. Alien terminology helps rationalize the harsh treatment of persons from other countries. n22 Consider the terms of the public debate. [∗269] Today's faceless "illegal aliens" are invading the nation and must be stopped or we shall be destroyed. n23 Such images help animate, invigorate, and reinforce the move to bolster immigration enforcement efforts and seal the borders.

The images that alien terminology creates have more far-reaching, often subtle, racial consequences. Federal and state laws regularly, and lawfully, discriminate against aliens. It is sanctioned by the Constitution, which provides, for example, that the President must be a "natural born citizen." n24 Under certain circumstances, discrimination against aliens is legally permissible. n25 In contrast, governmental reliance on racial classifications generally are subject to strict scrutiny and ordinarily are unconstitutional. n26 Because a majority of immigrants are people of color, n27 alienage classifications all-too-frequently are employed as a proxy for race. Alienage discrimination, though overinclusive because it includes persons who are not minorities, allows one to disproportionately disadvantage people of color.

California's much-publicized Proposition 187 n28 is an example of this phenomenon at work. Although debate during the tumultuous campaign centered on aliens, specifically "illegal aliens," the Mexican-American leaders in California knew which specific group of aliens at which the measure was truly directed. With that in mind, it is not surprising that "white voters supported the proposition at about a two-to-one ratio while Latinos overwhelmingly [∗270] opposed it by over a three-to-one margin." n29

My point is that the terminological issue is not simply a word game. Alien terminology serves important legal and social functions. Alexander Bickel perhaps said it best in the context of analyzing citizenship: "It has always been easier, it always will be easier, to think of someone as a noncitizen than to decide that he is a nonperson .... " n30 In Stephanie Wildman's words, "language veils the existence of systems of privilege." n31 Lucinda Finley put it differently though with the same flavor: "language matters. Law matters. Legal language matters." n32

Let us investigate how this works. Keep in mind that it should not be surprising that law serves this legitimating function. Lawyers attempt to reconcile conflicting legal precedents to make persuasive arguments. In so doing, they attempt to rationalize that which may seem, and may well be, inconsistent.

II. CITIZENS AND "ALIENS"
A popular, and important, topic in modern immigration law scholarship focuses on how immigration and alienage law defines "community membership." n33 The definition of aliens as a group distinct and apart from citizens assists in ensuring that noncitizens are only limited, conditional, or "partial members" n34 of the community. Importantly, these partial members of U.S. society are deportable if they violate the conditions of admission. We should not underestimate the severity of deportation, which the Supreme Court has emphasized "may result in the loss of all [star271] that makes life worth living." n35

Aliens are partial members of the community with limited membership rights, which includes the fact that they may be subject to treatment, such as deportation, that never could be afforded citizens. n36 An extreme example drives this point home. Aliens not convicted of any crime under certain circumstances may be detained indefinitely. n37 Citizens, of course, could never be subject to such treatment. n38

The value of citizenship is nothing new to American law. For example, long ago, the Supreme Court held that Dred Scott, a black man suing for his freedom, was not a "citizen" and therefore could not invoke the diversity of citizenship jurisdiction of the federal courts. n39 By denying citizenship to Dred Scott, the Court denied him a right--access to the federal courts--to which citizens are entitled, n40 thereby highlighting the fact that freed [star272] blacks, like slaves, generally were not full members of the community.

Consider the linkage between alien status and citizenship under the modern immigration laws. The comprehensive immigration statute, the Immigration and Nationality Act, rather blandly defines an "alien" as "any person not a citizen or national of the United States." n41 Despite the blandness of the definition, the word alien immediately brings forth rich imagery. One thinks of space invaders n42 seen on television and in movies, such as the blockbuster movie Independence Day. n43 Popular culture reinforces the idea that aliens may be killed with impunity and, if not, "they" will destroy the world as we know it. Synonyms for alien have included "stranger, intruder, interloper, ... outsider, [and] barbarian," n44 all terms that suggest the need for harsh treatment and self-preservation. In effect, the term alien serves to dehumanize persons. We have few, if any, legal obligations to alien outsiders to the community, though we have obligations to persons. n45 Persons have rights while aliens do not. n46

The term alien serves as a device that intellectually legitimizes the mistreatment of noncitizens and helps to mask human suffering. Cognitive dissonance theory from psychology, which posits that the human mind strives to reconcile inconsistent phenomena, helps to explain the utility of this intellectual device. n47 Persons have dignity and their rights should be respected. Aliens have neither dignity nor rights. By distinguishing between aliens and persons, society is able to reconcile the disparate legal and social treatment afforded the two groups. To further rationalize the differential mistreatment, aliens may be "racialized," even if they are, at least by appearance, "white." n48

Look at this phenomenon concretely. If we think that persons who come to the United States from another nation are hard-working and "good," it is difficult to treat them harshly. n49 If we consider these foreigners to be criminals who sap finite public resources and damage the environment, it is far easier to rationalize their harsh treatment. These different visions help us better understand the ongoing political debate about undocumented immigration. While serving as Western Regional Commissioner of the INS in charge of border enforcement in the West, Harold Ezell, later a sponsor of Proposition 187, said the following about illegal aliens in 1989: "if you catch 'em, you ought to clean 'em and fry 'em." n50 Absent from this characterization is the human toll on undocumented immigrants who migrate to the United States: the often painful decision to leave family, friends, and community, the arduous journey replete with dangers, and their uncertain status upon arriving in this [star274] country. n51

By obscuring the human tolls of utilitarian policy, alien terminology facilitates such policy choices. Consider the nation's schizophrenia about undocumented Mexican labor. Following World War II, a perceived labor shortage in agriculture provoked Congress to establish the Bracero Program, which allowed Mexican workers to enter the country temporarily. n52 Even after the program's dismantling, the U.S.
Border Patrol informally collaborated with growers in the Southwest to ensure ready availability of cheap undocumented labor. n53 Coming full circle, the U.S. Border Patrol implemented a mass deportation program in 1954 officially known as "Operation Wetback." n54 As in the 1950s, it is politically popular today to crack down on "illegal aliens" from Mexico. n55 The need for a disposable labor force of aliens, with little concern for the human consequences on persons, explains these shifting policies.

A. Deportable and Excludable "Aliens"

Students of the immigration laws might challenge any blanket assertions about aliens and claim that there are important legal distinctions between different groups of aliens. True, U.S. law makes distinctions between aliens with different categories of aliens enjoying different rights. For example, "deportable aliens," that is, noncitizens who are physically present in the country, have more legal protections than "excludable aliens," that is, aliens who may be excluded from admission at the borders. n56 Deportable aliens are protected by the Due Process Clause while excludable aliens generally are not. n57 The differential treatment of these groups might be justified by the differing "stakes" they have in the community. Deportable aliens, who have lived in the United States, are more likely to have friends, families, jobs, and community ties in this country, than those seeking to enter. n58

Some Justices of the Supreme Court recognize the subtle impact that the terminology denoting different categories of aliens has on the legal treatment afforded noncitizens. Justice Douglas, for example, emphasized that "we cannot allow the Government's insistent reference to these Mexican citizens as 'deportable aliens' to obscure the fact that they come before us as innocent persons who have not been charged with a crime ....." n59

Despite the legal niceties, the rights accorded to either deportable or excludable aliens are nowhere close to the rights of citizens. Importantly, both groups of aliens, unlike citizens, can be returned to their countries of origin. They can be deported against their will to a country where they may not have lived for years. Some may be torn from a country where they have lived, known, and loved.

B. "Good" (Legal) and "Bad" ( Illegal) "Aliens"

For legal purposes, the distinction between legal and "illegal aliens" often proves to be important. Legal immigrants, the largest category being "lawful permanent residents," n60 are viewed more positively in the eyes of the law than undocumented immigrants. Lawful permanent residents and others who entered through lawful channels are "good aliens" who receive more favorable treatment by the courts than undocumented noncitizens, "bad aliens," who are "uninvited guests, intruders, trespassers, law breakers." n61 Whether "good" or "bad," aliens unquestionably possess fewer legal rights and protections than citizens.

The most damning terminology for noncitizens is "illegal alien." Illegal aliens unquestionably are the most unpopular group of aliens. n62 Although alien is found repeatedly in the Immigration and Nationality Act, illegal alien is not defined in this law. n63 Illegal aliens is a pejorative term that implies criminality, n64 thereby suggesting that the persons who fall in this category deserve punishment, not legal protection. n65 Nevertheless, it is common, if not standard, terminology in the modern debate in the Southwest if not the entire nation, about undocumented immigration.

The illegal alien label, however, suffers from inaccuracies and inadequacies at several levels. Many nuances of immigration law make it extremely difficult to distinguish between an "illegal" and a "legal" alien. For example, a person living without documents in this country for a number of years may be eligible for relief from deportation and to become a lawful permanent resident. n66 He or she may have children born in this country, who are citizens, n67 as well as a job and community ties here. It is difficult to contend that this person is an illegal alien indistinguishable from a person who entered without inspection yesterday.
The vaguely defined, but emotionally powerful, illegal alien terminology also fails to distinguish between the different types of undocumented persons in the United States. There are persons who cross the border without inspection; there are also noncitizens who enter lawfully but overstay their business, tourist, student, or other visas. \textsuperscript{68} The illegal alien in public discussion often refers to a person who enters without inspection, often a national of Mexico. \textsuperscript{69} This is not surprising because the furor over illegal aliens, at least in the Southwest, can be seen as an attack on undocumented Mexicans, if not on lawful Mexican immigrants and Mexican-American citizens.

History teaches that it is difficult to limit anti-alien sentiment to any one segment of the immigrant community, such as the undocumented. \textsuperscript{70} This is evidenced by the slow reduction of public benefits to all categories of noncitizens in the 1990s. On the heels of the passage of Proposition 187, which focused on limiting benefits to undocumented persons, Congress enacted \textit{welfare "reform" legislation} that greatly limited legal immigrants' eligibility for public benefit programs. \textsuperscript{71} Later, more general attacks were made on racial minorities, such as California's so-called Civil Rights Initiative that, if implemented, would eliminate affirmative action. \textsuperscript{72} Put simply, nativist outbursts fail to make the fine legal distinctions among members of outsider groups like those that legal academics proudly articulate.

My point is not that all distinctions between different types of aliens and between aliens and citizens should be discarded. Rather, we must recognize that difficult choices must be made in distinguishing between the groups that we create under the law and the rights afforded to persons in those groups. In so doing, we should not employ legal terminology as a tool to obfuscate the real impacts of our judgments. \textsuperscript{73} As a society, we should instead be honest in making truly difficult decisions with an understanding that persons, not dehumanized, demonized aliens, are being affected, often in harsh and deeply personal ways.

C. Implications of the "Alien" Terminology

In many ways, the negative images of the alien, often fed by restrictionist groups and politicians seeking punitive immigration measures, carry the day in the political process. \textsuperscript{74} Such images influence the courts as well. Not long ago, the Supreme Court manipulated alien terminology in a subtle manner to help justify harsh treatment of perhaps the most sympathetic group of noncitizens, children who come to the United States without their parents. In the 1980s, the Immigration and Naturalization Service (INS) adopted a regulation that limited the release of noncitizen children from custody to only their parents, close relatives, or legal guardians, rather than to responsible adults. All other children were detained. Problems with the detention policy, which allowed for detention in what many found to be deeply troubling conditions, were abundant. Undocumented children frequently come to the United States with extended family members, not their parents or legal guardians. Under the regulation, these children, if apprehended by the INS, could not be released to these family members. Undocumented parents also fear deportation if they appear at the INS to release their detained children.

In rejecting the constitutional challenges to the regulation, Justice Scalia wrote for the Supreme Court in sterile terms. The first paragraph of the opinion, in relevant part, reads:

\textit{Over the past decade, the ... [INS] has arrested increasing numbers of alien juveniles who are not accompanied by their parents or other related adults. Respondents, a class of alien juveniles so arrested and held in INS custody pending their deportation hearings, contend that the Constitution and immigration laws require them to be released into the custody of "responsible adults."} \textsuperscript{75}

\textsuperscript{280} The majority goes on to emphasize that: if we harbored any doubts as to the constitutionality of institutional custody over unaccompanied juveniles, they would surely be eliminated as to those juveniles ... who are aliens. For reasons long recognized as valid, the responsibility for regulating the relationship between the United States and our alien visitors has been committed to the political branches of the Federal Government ... . Over no conceivable subject is the legislative power of Congress more complete ... . Thus, in the exercise of its broad power over immigration and naturalization, Congress regularly makes rules that would be unacceptable if applied to citizens. \textsuperscript{76}
The Justice Stevens' dissent cut to the heart of the matter by considering the impact on children: How a responsible administrator could possibly conclude that the practice of commingling harmless children with adults of the opposite sex in detention centers protected by barbed-wire fences, without providing them with education, recreation, or visitation, while subjecting them to arbitrary strip searches, would be in their best interests is most difficult to comprehend. n77

Note the salient difference in language between the two opinions. On the one hand, Justice Scalia, who reads their constitutional rights restrictively, calls them "alien juveniles" something akin to juvenile delinquents. On the other hand, Justice Stevens, who would find in their favor, calls them "children." Although the regulation directly affected children, its disparate impact on undocumented children from Mexico and Central America generally went ignored. n78

[*281] The political process has responded to the encouragement of Supreme Court decisions like Reno v. Flores. n79 The Illegal Immigration Reform and Immigrant Responsibility Act of 1996, n80 for example, expanded the categories of criminal aliens subject to mandatory detention without the possibility of bond. n81 Moreover, the Act eliminated judicial review of detention and bond decisions of aliens. n82 Detention of aliens, adults, and children, evidently is much easier to justify than detention of citizens or persons.

III. THE INFLUENCE OF RACE

Alienage status has not always been linked to race. As mentioned previously, the primary group of aliens that the framers of the Constitution had in mind in creating alienage jurisdiction in Article III were the British. n83 Over time, however, aliens have increasingly become equated with racial minorities. As Gerald Neuman has succinctly observed, "the discourse of legal [immigration] status permits coded discussion in which listeners will understand that reference is being made, not to aliens in the abstract, but to the particular foreign group that is the principal focus of current hostility." n84

An important first association between aliens and racial minorities can be seen in the foundational immigration cases allowing for the exclusion and deportation of Chinese persons in the late 1800s. n85 Not long after, in the early part of the twentieth century, some states passed laws known as the "alien land laws" that barred "aliens ineligible to citizenship" from owning certain real property. This facially-neutral phrase was taken from the immigration and naturalization laws, which barred most nonwhite persons from becoming citizens. n86 While incorporating a [*282] facially neutral phrase from the immigration laws into the land laws, the state effectively barred certain nonwhites from owning real property. These laws undisputedly were directed at persons of Japanese ancestry. n87 More recently, since 1965 when Congress repealed the national origin quotas system, there has been a sharp increase in racial minorities as a proportion of the immigrant stream to the United States. n88

The "alien" has increasingly become associated with racial minorities in the modern debate about immigration. The words "alien" and "illegal alien" today carry subtle racial connotations. The dominant image of the alien often is an undocumented Mexican or some other person of color, perhaps a Haitian, Chinese, or Cuban person traveling by sea from a developing nation. Treating racial minorities poorly on the ground that they are aliens or illegal aliens allows us to reconcile the view that "we are not racist" and the desire to insulate ourselves from certain groups of persons viewed as different, racially or otherwise. n89

A. Some Examples: Mexicans, Haitians, Cubans

As the century comes to a close, concern with illegal aliens in the United States dominates debate over immigration reform. "The illegal alien is said to sneak into the United States, insinuate himself into our midst, hide, remain without asking permission. The introjection language, language of overstepping, is both literal and unmistakable." n90
Though the term illegal alien is seemingly race neutral, it is relatively easy to discern which noncitizens are the ones that provoke concern. Study of the use of the terminology in context reveals that, particularly in the Southwest, the term refers to undocumented Mexicans and plays into stereotypes of Mexicans as criminals. The terminology better masks nativist sympathies than the popular vernacular that it replaced--"wetbacks," which is even more closely linked to Mexican immigrants.

The link between "illegal aliens" and Mexican citizens often goes unstated. The courts, with little explanation, often have approached the "illegal immigration problem" as an exclusively Mexican problem. For example, Justice Brennan, writing for the Supreme Court, suggests the equation in his mind between illegal aliens and Mexican immigrants. Employment of illegal aliens in times of high unemployment deprives citizens and legally admitted aliens of jobs; acceptance by illegal aliens of jobs on substandard terms as to wages and working conditions can seriously depress wage scales and working conditions of citizens and legally admitted aliens; and employment of illegal aliens under such conditions can diminish the effectiveness of labor unions. These local problems are particularly acute in California in light of the significant influx of illegal aliens from neighboring Mexico.

The best estimate of the INS, however, is that, as of October 1992, less than forty percent of the undocumented population in the United States is of Mexican origin. The public debate, however, fails to focus on undocumented white aliens. The INS estimated that three of the top ten sending nations for undocumented immigrants are Canada (97,000), Poland (91,000), and Italy (67,000). A study by the state of New York estimated that, despite the images of Chinese and Central Americans as the predominant illegal aliens in the state, the three largest undocumented groups in New York came from Ecuador, Italy, and Poland.

In focusing on the "illegal alien" as a Mexican immigrant, the U.S. Supreme Court decisions are replete with negative imagery about undocumented immigration from Mexico. Such immigration, in the Court's view, is a "colossal problem" posing "enormous difficulties" and "formidable law enforcement problems." One Justice observed that immigration from Mexico is "virtually uncontrollable." Chief Justice Burger stated that the nation "is powerless to stop the tide of illegal aliens--and dangerous drugs--that daily and freely crosses our 2000-mile southern boundary." Even renowned liberal Justice Brennan, in analyzing the lawfulness of a workplace raid in southern California, stated that "no one doubts that the presence of large numbers of undocumented aliens in the country creates law enforcement problems of titanic proportions."

Ignoring the heated debate among social scientists about the contribution of undocumented immigrants to the economy, the Supreme Court has stated unequivocally that undocumented Mexicans "create significant economic and social problems, competing with citizens and legal resident aliens for jobs, and generating extra demand for social services." Such perceptions inspired Chief Justice Burger to include an extraordinary appendix to an opinion describing in remarkable detail "the illegal alien problem," which focused exclusively on unauthorized migration from Mexico.

Similar concerns with illegal aliens from Mexico and other developing nations influence policymakers. For example, in arguing for an overhaul of immigration enforcement in 1981, then Attorney General William French Smith proclaimed that "we have lost control of our borders." Around that same time period, the Reagan administration began interdicting Haitians fleeing political turmoil and detaining all Central Americans seeking asylum in this country because of feared political persecution. More recently, the public perception that "illegal immigration" is out of control motivated in President Clinton to increase enforcement efforts along the U.S.-Mexico border through military-style operations like Operation Blockade--later renamed Hold the Line--in El Paso, Texas. This public perception also prompted congressional action designed to bolster border enforcement. While the government fortifies the southern border with Mexico, reports of smuggling of undocumented immigrants across the northern border with Canada fail to provoke significant public concern.
The use of "illegal alien" as code for Mexicans can be seen in the debate over Proposition 187. Consider the argument in favor of the measure in the pamphlet distributed to registered voters:

WE CAN STOP ILLEGAL ALIENS... .

Proposition 187 will be the first giant stride in ultimately ending the ILLEGAL ALIEN invasion ... .

It has been estimated that ILLEGAL ALIENS are costing taxpayers in excess of 5 billion dollars a year ... .

Welfare, medical and educational benefits are the magnets that draw these ILLEGAL ALIENS across our borders ... .

Should our Senior Citizens be denied full service under Medi-Cal to subsidize the cost of ILLEGAL ALIENS? ... .

We are American, by birth or naturalization ... .

As a final slap on the face, they voted to continue free prenatal care for ILLEGAL ALIENS!

Vote YES ON PROPOSITION 187. ENOUGH IS ENOUGH! n114

Replace illegal aliens with "Mexicans" and the meaning probably would be more precise.

[*287] The unfortunate treatment of Haitians fleeing political violence in their homeland demonstrates the powerful legal impact of alien terminology on racial minorities. President Bush issued an executive order in May 1992 entitled "Interdiction of Illegal Aliens," which authorized the extraordinary step of repatriating Haitians without inquiring, as required by international law, n115 into whether they had a well-founded fear of persecution if returned to Haiti. n116 Although the order did not mention Haitians or Haiti, only "illegal aliens," President Bush, and later President Clinton, implemented the repatriation policies exclusively against persons fleeing Haiti. n117 The Supreme Court decision upholding this extreme policy is no less sterile than the executive order. In introducing the case, the Court emphasized that only the rights of aliens were at stake: Aliens residing illegally in the United States are subject to deportation ... . Aliens arriving at the border ... are subject to an exclusion hearing, the less formal process by which they, too, may eventually be removed from the United States ... . The alien may seek asylum as a political refugee... . When an alien proves that he is a "refugee," the Attorney General has discretion to grant him asylum ... . If the proof shows it is more likely than not that the alien's life or freedom would be threatened in a particular country because of his political or religious beliefs, ... the Attorney General must not send him back to that country. The [immigration laws] offer these statutory protections only to aliens who reside in or have arrived at the border of the United States. n118

The word alien is used six times in the paragraph. Notably absent from the Court's opinion is any discussion of the human suffering experienced by the Haitians. n119

[*288] As the Supreme Court has said on numerous occasions when upholding discrimination against aliens, "Congress regularly makes rules that would be unacceptable if applied to citizens." n120 The government's decision to detain some Cubans indefinitely is an extreme example. In 1980, Fidel Castro allowed many Cuban citizens to come to the United States in the so-called Mariel Boatlift. The INS tried to return some of the Cubans and later attempted to deport others who had initially been released. Cuba, however, refused to accept these persons. In response, the United States held these noncitizens in indefinite detention, often in maximum security federal penitentiaries. In one case challenging that detention, the court emphasized in the very first line of the opinion that "Alexis Barrera-Echavarria is an alien." n121 Not surprisingly, the court upheld the indefinite detention. n122

B. The Absence of Race From the Public Debate

Because the immigration laws do not facially discriminate on the basis of race, they can be defended as "color blind." Consistent with this, most modern restrictionists routinely deny that race is the reason for
their objections to immigration. They point to other alleged impacts of illegal immigration, and immigration generally: that aliens take jobs from U.S. citizens, that aliens contribute to overpopulation that damages the environment, and [*289] that aliens overconsume public benefits and commit crime. n123 Such facially-neutral contentions deeply complicate the debate. n124

The fact that the race of immigrants ordinarily is not expressly offered as a reason for restricting immigration should not be surprising. Unlike past anti-immigrant eras, it is generally considered impermissible to expressly rely on race as a reason for restricting immigration. n125 Consequently, race ordinarily is submerged in the public discourse about immigration. However, the persistent reappearance of racist statements in the immigration debate, even if they do not dominate, suggests that race at some level influences restrictionist sentiments. n126

Though facially-neutral, restrictionist measures have disproportionate impacts on people of color. In many places in the country, Latinos/as, as well as persons of Asian ancestry, bear the brunt of heightened immigration enforcement efforts because they are perceived as "foreign" to the Anglo-Saxon mainstream. n127 Persons of Latin American ancestry are well-aware [*290] that the lashing out at aliens often is difficult to limit to noncitizens. A relationship exists between anti-immigrant and anti-Mexican sentiment. For example, Proposition 187 supporters claimed initially that, despite the anti-Mexican overtones to the campaign, they were not anti-immigrant but only anti-illegal alien and only wanted to limit benefits to "illegal aliens." n128 This anti-illegal alien contagion spread, however, and Congress later passed a law limiting public benefits to lawful immigrants. n129 Because Mexican nationals constitute the largest group of lawful permanent residents in the country, n130 they will be disproportionately affected.

Nothing in this essay should be read as suggesting that Mexican-Americans are the only racial minorities adversely affected by alien terminology. Indeed, "illegal alien" is an infinitely malleable term that may encompass the most feared outsider—often in modern times a person of color—in any region of the United States. In the Southwest, the term generally refers to persons of Mexican ancestry. In New York, it may refer to Chinese and Central Americans. n131 Consequently, the beauty (if one can call it that) of anti-illegal alien rhetoric is its ability to tap into the specific racial fears in a particular region and allow for consensus on national solutions to the "alien problem."

To complicate matters, alien terminology often works in combination with other racial code. Culture, for example, in certain circumstances is loosely linked to race. Restrictionists, while denying any racist sympathies, may claim that the cultural differences of non-Anglo Saxon immigrants, not their race per se, is objectionable. n132 The overlap between culture and race may explain Latinos/as' more favorable attitude about immigration [*291] than other groups; n133 they generally are less concerned about non-Anglo Saxon peoples immigrating to the United States. In addition to cultural differences, language skills may also be employed as a proxy for national origin and used to support the exclusion of non-English speaking immigrants. n134

Though reform proponents might deny it, race is an undercurrent to the debate over birthright citizenship. The concern, as voiced by California Governor Pete Wilson n135 and the popular television show "60 Minutes," n136 is that undocumented Mexican women cross the border to have children, thereby bestowing U.S. citizenship on them. n137 Stereotypes of excessively fertile brown women serve as the underpinnings of such claims. n138 To counter the national threat, the 1996 Republican Party platform would have denied citizenship to children born in the United States to undocumented parents. n139 Not surprisingly in light of the racial impacts of a change in the citizenship law, Latino/a activists have been at the forefront of the opposition to the proposals to limit birthright citizenship. n140

Efforts to eliminate birthright citizenship dovetail with cries of naturalization fraud. In response to measures such as Proposition 187 and congressional limitations on benefits to lawful immigrants, petitions for naturalization were filed at a record pace. n141 The Clinton administration was charged with pursuing partisan political ends by encouraging naturalization and allowing criminals to become naturalized. n142
Noncitizens thus are placed in a no-win situation. If they do not naturalize, they are accused of refusing to assimilate. But, if they naturalize in large numbers, they are accused of abusing the system.

IV. CONCLUSION

Critical analysis of immigration and human rights law, which today distantly affects people of color in particularly harsh ways, is much needed. In this realm, legal terminology is important. Legal construction of the "alien" has facilitated the rationalization of severe treatment of noncitizens. At times, "alien" has been used as a code word for racial minority. For too long, the racial impacts of legal rules and fictions have been obscured and ignored. We should remain vigilant of the use of language that masks the very human impacts of the immigration laws. Although difficult choices must be made, we should make them honestly with a full realization that persons, not faceless, nonhuman, demon "aliens," are affected in fundamental ways.
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