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SUMMARY: ... When a strike by 185,000 sorters, loaders, and drivers shut down the nationwide operations of United Parcel Service during the summer of 1997, I received inquiries from a number of news organizations whose reporters inevitably posed the same two questions: (1) how long is the strike going to last, and (2) does the union's victory signal the resurgence of the American labor movement? ... Is the labor movement is back? A better question would be, who's backing the labor movement? ... This essay makes the case that the future of the American labor movement will depend on its ability to harness Latino organizing power. ... Having established this, I now turn to the proposition that, if the workforce of America's future has a brown face, then the American labor movement of the future (if it has one) must have the same. ... Against the backdrop of somnambulance projected by so much of the mainstream labor movement, however, the Latino labor movement is projecting dynamism. ... Los Angeles can serve as a successful proving ground of the labor movement's ability to organize whole industries, geographic areas and communities at once. ... Some Challenges Facing a Latino-Led Labor Movement ... At least three serious challenges confront the labor movement in general and a Latino-led labor movement in particular. ... Even the brightest lights in the labor movement don't yet know. ... For me, this dispute signaled the great potential of the new American labor movement, and with it, the important role that Latino workers are destined to play. ...

[*1089]

Modern man never surrenders himself to what he is doing.

A part of him - the profoundest part - always remains detached and alert.

- Octavio Paz n1
Introduction

When a strike by 185,000 sorters, loaders, and drivers shut down the nationwide operations of United Parcel Service during the summer of 1997, I received inquiries from a number of news organizations whose reporters inevitably posed the same two questions: (1) how long is the strike going to last, and (2) does the union's victory signal the resurgence of the American labor movement?

I hesitated to answer these questions, but for different reasons. The first question, which did not fall within my expertise as a labor law professor, was both timely and of great practical importance. Unfortunately, not even the combatants can accurately predict when a given labor dispute will end. Eventually, UPS would take two weeks to agree to the Teamsters' settlement terms before regular deliveries resumed.

The second question, which did fall within my expertise, was superficially appealing but ultimately beside the point. Every industry, every employer, and every workforce is different; it is all but impossible to draw any meaningful conclusions about whether and how the resolution of particular grievances in a single confrontation will affect labor relations generally. What's truly important in a labor dispute, I thought, is who the parties are, and what resources they bring to the battle. Is the labor movement back? A better question would be, who's backing the labor movement?

Today, in more American workplaces than ever before, the answer to my question is the same: women and men of Latin origin. While organized labor as a whole is desperately struggling to avoid becoming irrelevant, Latino workers as a group are enjoying unprecedented successes in forming new labor organizations, breathing life into old unions, and winning generous contracts. Largely overlooked during the UPS labor dispute was the fact that so much of the company's workforce, especially in the big cities of the Southwest, consists of Latinos. In Southern California alone, at least half of the package delivery giant's 15,000 employees were of Hispanic heritage - a fact that could not be missed on television. Local news channels broadcast pictures of pickets at the company's downtown Los Angeles distribution facility, where the faces of all but a handful of the rank-and-file belonged to brown people.

When Teamster-represented employees won their key demand - 10,000 more full-time jobs for UPS' heavily part-time workforce - Southern California Latinos were among the primary beneficiaries.

Just a year earlier, in a local precursor to the UPS dispute, Latino truck drivers represented by the Teamsters had won a smaller-scale, but equally impressive, victory. The drivers, who eked out a minimum-wage living delivering fresh tortillas sold in Los Angeles County retail food stores under the Mission and Guerrero labels, took on mighty Gruma Corporation, the U.S. subsidiary of one of Mexico's biggest food processors. Following an extensive community-based campaign, which included pledges from prominent Anglo and Latino officials to join a boycott against the company's products, drivers persuaded the company to sign a new collective bargaining agreement granting substantial pay and benefit increases.

Due to a growing, and until now, mostly low-wage Latino workforce coveted by employers, Southern California has become "ground zero" for labor organizing during this decade. Since 1990, three of labor's biggest organizing victories - wherein previously non-union workers chose union representation and then successfully negotiated a first contract - have been scored among Latinos there: 1,500 foundry workers, who joined the International Association of Machinists, at American Racing, Inc., in Long Beach; 3,000 drywall installers, who joined the United Brotherhood of Carpenters, in the home construction industry stretching from Santa Barbara to San Diego; and 1,000 janitors, who joined the Service Employees International Union through its "Justice for Janitors" campaign, at high-rise office buildings in Century City on Los Angeles' Westside. And this winter saw the addition of the largest organizing prize in
modern labor history: 74,000 low-wage, government-paid home care workers, who joined the SEIU in Los Angeles County. n13

Southern California is also home to some high-profile union organizing that has yet to bear fruit, at least in the form of new members or new contracts. Periodically, independent truck drivers working the internationally-important Ports of Los Angeles and Long Beach, respec [1092] tively, have staged wildcat strikes in support of their demands to join the Communication Workers of America. n14 Porters and chambermaids at the New Otani Hotel in Los Angeles' Little Tokyo - a lodging frequented by Asian business travelers - continue to make claims, before both the National Labor Relations Board and the international court of public opinion, in support of their demand to be represented by the Hotel Employees and Restaurant Employees Union. n15 Even gardeners who maintain the yards of well-to-do neighborhoods have captured public attention through a campaign opposing local ordinances that ban the use of their ubiquitous gas-powered leaf-blowers, a cause championed by the Association of Latin American Gardeners of Los Angeles. n16

Southern California, however, is not the only place where Latinos are forming and joining labor unions. Since 1990, over 20,000 mostly Hispanic immigrant workers have walked off their jobs, or participated in organizing campaigns, across the country. n17 Mexican and Central American culinary workers in Las Vegas, n18 Guatemalan and Salvadoran custodians in Maryland, n19 Mexican poultry processors in Missouri, n20 and Dominican and Puerto Rican health care workers in New York n21 are among the growing ranks of Latinos who are demanding the chance to [1093] bargain for better wages and working conditions. Their appearance on the national scene is hardly surprising; by the middle of the next decade, Latinos are expected to become the largest non-White segment of the American workforce. n22

This essay makes the case that the future of the American labor movement will depend on its ability to harness Latino organizing power. I address the subject in three parts. Part I traces Latinization of the U.S. workforce. Part II discusses what Latinos have to gain from unionism, and what unionism has to gain from Latinos. Finally, Part III summarizes the challenges that a Latino-led labor resurgence faces, and how successfully meeting these challenges can benefit workers of all races.

I. The Latinization of the American Workforce

The 1990s could be remembered as the decade in which the "salification" of the American diet was completed. n23 Since 1991, combined yearly sales of salsa and picante sauce have outstripped those of the all-American flavor-enhancer, ketchup. After climbing at an annual rate of eight to 12 percent, retail salsa and picante sales reached $940 million in 1994 and are projected to top $1.5 billion in 1999. The meteoric growth of salsa and picante sales tracks the retail sales record of Mexican food in general, which reached $2.4 billion in 1994, and is projected to top $3.4 billion in 1999. n24

Why is salsa beating ketchup? Certainly, it's not because salsa is something new; chiles and herbs native to the New World, salsa's key ingredients, have been centuries-long staples in the diets of many people who trace their roots to Mexico, the Caribbean, and South America. n25 Although the reasons for salsa's success are probably complex, observers are tempted to reach for pat answers. According to the president of a food marketing firm quoted in one acclaimed cook book, the key factor (besides the burgeoning presence of Latinos on the U.S. side of the Latin American border) is the perception that Mexican food, unlike French or Japanese cuisine, is "idiot-proof." n26 He added: "Mexican food is pretty tasty, no matter what you do to it." n27

[1094] Of course, not all Latinos are Mexicans, and not all Mexican food is "idiot-proof." n28 But the marketing president's simplistic understanding of salsa's popularity should caution us to avoid some common misperceptions held by non-Hispanics about Latino workers - for example, that Latinos are "new" to the U.S.; that the terms "Latino" and "Mexican" are synonymous, referring mainly to the farm workers
whose cause was taken up by the late Cesar Chavez in California; or that Latinos will remain loyal workers, "no matter what you do to [them]."

Nevertheless, if the 1990s are remembered for the "salsification" of the American diet, then the 2000s will be remembered for the "Latinization" of the American workforce - even though Latino workers have toiled in the United States for a long time.

Since 1848, when the Treaty of Guadalupe Hidalgo ended the Mexican-American War, turned half of Mexico into the Southwestern United States, and transformed some 120,000 Mexicans into Mexican-Americans, Latino workers have played vital roles in the U.S. economy. Initially, these first U.S. Latinos worked in copper mines and steel mills, and on farms and ranches; eventually, they worked in oil fields, garment sweatshops, and tire factories, on loading docks and auto assembly lines, and in restaurants, hotels, offices, and stores. They were, and are, both native-born and immigrant, documented and undocumented. Employers prized Mexicanos, like many Latinos after them, "because we can treat them as we cannot treat any other living man." And not infrequently, Latinos responded to the severe discrimination that they faced by forming and joining labor unions.

Indeed, the only thing truly "new" about Latino workers in the United States today is their sheer number. In 1980, the U.S. Census reported that the civilian workforce totaled 106.1 million people, of which 85.2 percent were White, 10.2 percent were Black, 5.7 percent were Hispanic, and 2.6 percent were Asian or Pacific Islander. But by 1990, the civilian labor force numbered 125.2 million people, of which 82.1 percent were White, 10.7 percent were Black, 8.1 percent were Hispanic, and 2.9 percent were Asian or Pacific Islander. As Table 1 indicates, the number of Latinos working in the U.S. grew by 75.4 percent from 1980 to 1990, making them the fastest-growing segment of the American workforce.

Moreover, according to the Statistical Abstract of the United States, Latinos made up 9.3 percent of the civilian workforce in 1995, and are projected to reach 11.1 percent in 2005. As Table 1 also indicates, sometime during the middle of the next decade, as one in nine U.S. workers becomes Hispanic, Latinos will surpass African Americans as the country's second largest racial and ethnic working group.

Nowhere are Hispanic workers more important to the economy than in Los Angeles County, which serves as Southern California's economic engine. In 1980, the California Census of Population reported that Los Angeles County's civilian workforce consisted of 3.7 million people, of which 71.2 percent were White, 24.5 percent were Hispanic, 11.1 percent were Black, and 6.4 percent were Asian or Pacific Islander. By 1990, the County's labor force reached 4.6 million people, of which 59.6 percent were White, 34.5 percent were Hispanic, 10.8 percent were Asian or Pacific Islander, and 10.1 percent were Black. As Table 2 indicates, Latino labor grew at a rate of 73.4 percent during the decade, a substantial increase that was surpassed only by Asians and Pacific Islanders, whose whopping 107.3 percent gain reflected their comparatively small absolute numbers:

Although Hispanic workers are found in a wide range of jobs throughout the County, they are highly concentrated in the area's vital manufacturing sector - a fact that places them in the driver's seat of one of North America's most important economic vehicles.
For all of Los Angeles' importance as America's entertainment capital, the region is even more important as the country's manufacturing capital. Manufacturing is still critical to the area's economic well-being, and is still growing, despite the continued downsizing of South ern California's once-vaunted aerospace and defense industries. This unheralded manufacturing sector consists of two components: the highly-visible "durable goods" portion, in which transportation equipment, aerospace and defense instruments, fabricated metal products, machinery, electronic goods, furniture, metals, stone and glass, and lumber products are produced; and the less-visible "non-durable" goods sector, in which apparel, printing, food products, rubber and plastics, chemicals, paper, textiles, petroleum, and leather are made. The contrast between the durable and non-durable goods components can be seen in the industries affected by some of the labor disputes recounted above: under the heading "fabricated metal products," the durable goods sector took center stage when foundry workers organized a union at American Racing Equipment; under the heading "food products," the non-durable goods sector came to the fore when truck drivers delivering Mission- and Guerrero-label tortillas took on Gruma Corp.

Not surprisingly, immigrant Latinos hold down half of Los Angeles County's estimated 700,000 manufacturing jobs - a figure that gives the region roughly 50 percent more manufacturing positions than its nearest rival, Chicago-Cook County, Ill. And half of these manufacturing jobs are geographically concentrated along the so-called "Alameda Corridor," a 20-mile strip linking a vast district of production and distribution facilities located to the northeast of downtown with the Ports of Los Angeles and Long Beach located to the south. About 300,000 new manufacturing jobs are expected to be created there during the next decade, and Latinos are expected to fill most of these too. This expansion will be fueled by three enormous regional construction projects: a $ 8 to $ 12 billion plan to increase the capacity of Los Angeles International Airport; a $ 1.9 billion plan to connect the manufacturing district to the Ports of Los Angeles and Long Beach by building a high-speed, subterranean commercial rail line in the Alameda Corridor; and a $ 650 million plan to overhaul Los Angeles Harbor.

In sum, Latino workers, long important to the U.S. economy, are quickly becoming essential to it. Having established this, I now turn to the proposition that, if the workforce of America's future has a brown face, then the American labor movement of the future (if it has one) must have the same.

II. Why Organized Labor and Latino Workers Need Each Other

A. What Organized Labor Can Do for Latino Workers

As Professor Juan Gomez-Quiñones has noted, Latino workers, beginning with Mexicans in what is now the Southwestern U.S., have toiled in North America since before the establishment of the United States. Although, until recently, labor historians have paid little attention to this community, "few southwestern U.S. capitalists have ignored Mexican resources or the Mexicano laborer. Indeed, when the hours were long and the pay short, business interests explicitly sought out Mexicanos.” Unfortunately, for too many Latinos, the hours are still long and the pay is still short.

For the past three decades, although the U.S. median annual household income earned by Latinos has surpassed that earned by African Americans, it has consistently fallen short of the income earned by Whites. In 1980, Hispanic households earned 76.3 percent of what White households did; in 1990, the figure was slightly higher at 76.8 percent. The pattern persisted at the local level, including Los Angeles County. In 1980, Hispanic households there earned 76.9 percent of what Whites earned; in 1990, the figure dipped to 70.9 percent. Undercutting this decline further was a one-two punch that the Census Bureau's 1990 figures were collected too early to detect: the end of the Cold War, and the consequent elimination of about half of Southern California's 400,000 aerospace and defense jobs; and the Los Angeles civil disturbances of spring 1992, which drove capital out of the County's industrial
These events seriously damaged the durable-goods component of the region's manufacturing sector, where, as noted above, roughly half of the workers are Latinos.

Following the 1992 civil disturbances, a group of seasoned academic and labor leaders worried that, if nothing were done to improve the economic position of immigrant Latinos, a "permanent underclass" of Latinos would be created. Among other things, these leaders found that, in communities of Los Angeles County having a poverty level of 20 percent or higher, over 15,000 manufacturing firms were generating annual revenues of over $54 billion, due largely to the low-wage labor of 357,000 Latino employees. Could anything be done to help Latino workers share more equitably in this ample wealth, and thereby raise themselves out of poverty and into a social and political stake in their communities?

The answer, according to these academics and labor leaders, was to undertake a major "economic upgrading" of Latino household income. In 1995, they christened their initiative "LA MAP," or the Los Angeles Manufacturing Action Project. By combining the organizing talents and resources of 15 separate labor organizations, researchers in UCLA's Department of Urban Planning and its Center for Labor Research and Education, and other community groups, LA MAP hoped to raise $3 million and coordinate the organization of up to 717,000 mostly Latino immigrant workers.

According to a mission statement published by LA MAP:

Economic and social stability can be achieved in L.A.'s immigrant communities by increasing manufacturing wages and offering a selection of comprehensive employer financed benefits, including family health insurance. This economic upgrading can be accomplished with out destroying the competitiveness of the Los Angeles manufacturing complex. Unionization helps workers achieve economic upgrading and a voice in their workplace and their communities.

Would LA MAP's plan to spread the gospel of unionism to Latino workers actually improve their economic fortunes? The overwhelming empirical evidence is that it would.

For the past quarter century, labor economists representing a range of conservative to liberal economic philosophies have published a rich scientific literature documenting a positive, statistically significant relationship between the extent of unionization and employees' wages. Put more simply, the earnings of employees working for union firms are significantly higher than the wages of employees working for their non-union competition.

Some comparisons of the wages earned by non-union and union workers will illustrate the magnitude of this wage gap. In 1986, the U.S. median weekly earnings of the typical non-union worker were $325; by contrast, the weekly earnings of the typical union worker were $439. By 1996, the median weekly earnings of the non-union worker had grown to $462; by contrast, the weekly earnings of the union worker had grown to $610. This wage gap, which is now about 32 percent, has not only held firmly but also widened steadily. As Table 3 indicates, during the ten-year period from 1986 to 1996, the typical non-union employee could expect to find about $110 to $150 less in her weekly paycheck than her union counterpart:

Table 3  Union vs. Non-Union Median Weekly Earnings Gap 1986-1996 (All Races)

When analyzed by race, the union wage gap becomes even more pronounced. For example, in 1996, the U.S. median weekly earnings of the typical non-union worker were, for Whites, $480; for Blacks, $356; and for Hispanics, $319. But in the same year the median weekly earnings of the typical union worker were, for Whites, $630; for Blacks, $502; and for Hispanics, $482. As Table 4 indicates, although the wage gap between non-union and union workers in each race category was huge, it was by far the widest for Hispanics:
Table 4  n71 Union vs. Non-Union Median Weekly Earnings Gap By Percent, 1986-1996 (All Races)

From a strictly economic viewpoint, then, every worker (especially the worker of color) realizes tremendous benefits from unionization, but none more than the Latino worker. Whereas union Whites and Blacks earned 31.3 percent and 41.0 percent, respectively, more than their non-union counterparts, union Hispanics earned a whopping 51.1 percent more than non-union Hispanics. n72 As Table 5 indicates, during the ten-year period from 1986 to 1996, union Latinos consistently benefitted from a wage gap in the 50 percent range:

Table 5  n73 Union vs. Non-Union Median Weekly Earnings Gap By Percent, 1986-1996 (Hispanics)

Of course, at $482, even a 50 percent boost in the weekly paycheck of the typical Hispanic worker isn't going to make her rich; in fact, it's only $2 more than the weekly paycheck of the typical non-union White worker. But given a choice, who wouldn't rather make an extra $163 per week?

In sum, no group of workers has more to gain from the labor movement than Latino workers. By any measure, the tangible economic benefits for individual workers, their families, and their communities would be substantial.

B. What Latino Workers Can Do for Organized Labor

After peaking at 38 percent in 1954, private sector, non-agricultural union density - the percentage of workers represented by labor unions - fell to 13 percent in 1993 and is barely 10 percent today. n74 Although during the past three years the AFL-CIO has spent millions of dollars and launched a number of high-profile organizing initiatives designed to turn these numbers around, n75 it may be some time before any measurable improvement occurs. n76 Part of the problem is that changing the culture of any established institution is enormously difficult. For years, labor suffered from a "bunker" mentality; it was too afraid of losing ground to attempt to gain any. "They're all wearing the same uniform, all reciting the right passages from the prayer book," says a union representative familiar with the rhetoric of new organizing efforts in Boston. "But absolutely nothing has changed. They're the walking dead." n77

Against the backdrop of somnambulism projected by so much of the mainstream labor movement, however, the Latino labor movement is projecting dynamism. Paced by major organizing victories in Southern California, over 20,000 mostly immigrant Latinos have walked off their jobs or participated in other organizing activities across the country since 1990. n78 Their efforts are producing new adherents, more job security, and better pay and benefits. How are they managing to do it?

[*1104] At least three types of strategies are responsible for Latinos' organizing success and ought to be studied. To be sure, the AFL-CIO, under the leadership of president John Sweeney, has been exploring and exploiting many of these elements since the mid-1990s, but the value of considering them systematically should not be underestimated. They include (1) building organizing efforts from the grass-roots level, but with the financial and technical support of organized labor; (2) drawing on the expertise of sympathetic members of the academic community; and when all else fails, (3) trying assorted remedios caseros, or home remedies, culled from Latino folk traditions.

1. Bottom-up organizing, top-down support.
In a number of successful cases, Latino workers took the first steps toward forming or joining unions by organizing from the bottom-up, rather than by being organized from the top-down. By using what Professor Kate Bronfenbrenner of Cornell University calls "union building tactics," these workers dramatically increased their chances of winning organizing campaigns. At American Racing, Inc., in Long Beach, Calif., 1,500 Latino and Black foundry workers demanding better pay and working conditions conducted their own five-day strike in 1990. This happened before organizers from the International Association of Machinists got involved by helping to consolidate support for the union and negotiate a first contract. And in the Southern California home building industry stretching from Santa Barbara to San Diego Counties, 3,000 Mexican drywall hangers all but shut down new home construction on their own in 1992 and 1993 by walking off the job and by driving en masse to job sites throughout the region to persuade co-workers to do the same. This happened before the United Brotherhood of Carpenters began lending logistical support and helped negotiate a first contract. These examples stand in contrast to the longstanding approach of AFL-CIO-affiliated unions, which for decades have tended to target shops or industries for organizing campaigns first from the outside before attempting to gain adherents on the inside if they have both ered to try to organize them at all.

A lot of the work of organizing Latinos was accomplished in safe, familiar settings away from the workplace. Workers discussed their situations, and their desires to do something about them, in meetings held in homes, churches, and social clubs consisting of immigrants who maintained strong ties to their home state or village in Mexico or Central America. In this respect, the workers' status as recent immigrants was advantageous; outsiders in the community at large, they enjoyed the solidarity of insiders in the mostly Hispanic communities along the Alameda Corridor. They lived and worked together, and they sought out familiar institutions to help them make the tough decisions and stick by them. For example, a priest who lent his visible support to a union campaign could not only reinforce an activist's resolve but also transform a Catholic fence-sitter into a union adherent. And a patriarch or matriarch who lent his support, especially if s/he commanded respect in the village back home in Mexico, could boost the solidarity behind a given strike, boycott, or job action in the U.S. During the Pacific drywall strike, union activists were aided by the fact that most of them hailed from the same handful of villages and towns in Mexico, where the strike had gained support. When truckloads of striking drywall hangers appeared at a targeted job site to exhort other men to walk off the job, inevitably one or more of the workers would turn out to be an uncle, cousin, or family friend of one of the strikers. Family and peer pressure usually prevailed, and another job site would be shut down.

Equally important to the success of these grass-roots efforts, however, was the involvement of official labor, but in a supporting rather than a leading role. For example, the Machinists lent bilingual organizers and business agents to the foundry workers' campaign at American Racing; the Carpenters offered use of their union hall and the advice of their legal counsel to the drywall hangers' campaign in the Pacific home building industry. During the "Justice for Janitors" campaign at high-rise office buildings in Century City, the SEIU, one of the few big unions with proven track record of successfully organizing low-wage workers of color, played a greater role in directing the concerted activities of custodians, but still depended on the initiative of the thousands of marchers whose street rally brought business in normally efficient Century City to a standstill. Later, the SEIU offered legal assistance to workers who were arrested and in some cases injured by police during the rally.

A case study of a successful drive to unionize Latinos at a Los Angeles waterbed manufacturer illustrates why established labor organizations, for all the technical and financial assistance that they provide, still need the support of bottom-up efforts to succeed: on the one hand, unless the workers see themselves as having a stake in the union, a short-term organizing victory might turn into a long-term defeat at the bargaining table; on the other hand, if workers lay their jobs on the line only to find that union professionals don't care about what happens to them, then they will feel betrayed. A professional organizer....
recalled a meeting at which one of the shop's workers, a man respected by his peers, asked him a number of questions on behalf of the group:

"Could we be fired?" I said, "Of course." "What will the union do if they fire us?" I remember saying that the union would not do any thing for you or anyone if you're fired. [Instead, I asked:] What are you going to do for yourself? How are we going to work together? First, we have to identify who the union is. If we're going to identify the union as this building or me, it's better that we don't do anything. You want to organize the company. What are you going to do for him or him for you if you're fired? What we can provide is a lawyer and the experience we have on how to minimize the risk. If there is a firing, try to win it. That's all [a union can do]... "How are you going to guarantee that you don't sell out?" They had to insure that some idiot like me didn't [

In an age when so many other U.S. workers seem reluctant to embrace unionism, it is remarkable that an historically outsider group of working people have managed not only to choose collective representation, but also to achieve tangible progress with it.

2. Ivory tower expertise.

The professionals who lent their expertise to successful Latino organizing campaigns included not only veteran labor organizers but also academics who wanted to make a difference. In Southern California, much of the strategic groundwork that made it possible to finish the job that Latino workers had started was laid by economics and urban planning professors associated with UCLA's Community Scholars Program. The Community Scholars, who were organized by Professor Gilda Haas, were drawn from two institutions affiliated with UCLA: the university's School of Urban Planning and its Center for Labor Research and Education. n89

The Community Scholars undertook the research necessary to target particular industries for organizing. For example, a document entitled Manufacturing in Los Angeles: Opportunities for Organizing, n90 published by LA MAP, attempted to make the case why organizing Latino manufacturing workers in the Alameda Corridor was not only feasible but also necessary to the community's economic health. The twenty-four charts and graphs attached to the document were produced by Professor Goetz Wolff. Professor Wolff's extensive research showed, as noted above, that the region's manufacturing sector is thriving; that low-wage Latino labor produce its profits; and that by carefully targeting certain types of businesses, especially in the non-durable goods segment, unions could successfully organize their workers without driving the businesses away to other communities or other countries.

Among other things, Professor Wolff believed that certain types of manufacturing businesses are extremely sensitive to their locations; that is, they cannot easily pack up and move away to avoid a union without jeopardizing their access to important markets for their manufactures. He identified nearly 400,000 manufacturing jobs falling into eight clusters of business types as being location-sensitive to Los Angeles County. They included apparel and textiles (112,000 jobs); printing (50,700 jobs); trucking and warehousing (49,200 jobs); fabricated metal products and auto parts (64,300 jobs); food and kindred products (43,000); furniture and fixtures and lumber and wood products (32,900 jobs); miscellaneous plastics products (24,000) jobs; and paper and allied products (15,900 jobs). n91

Professor's Wolff's research was quickly put to the test. During the summer of 1996, Latino truck drivers went on strike against Gruma Corp., a U.S. subsidiary of Mexican food giant Grupo Maseca, S.A., for higher wages and more generous reimbursements of the expenses they incurred while delivering Mission- and Guerrero-label tortillas to super markets and restaurants. n92 The success of the strike, which involved only 170 workers, depended on a community boycott of the company's popular tortillas, which
accounted for 60 percent of the huge Los Angeles market. n93 According to the executive director of LA MAP, the strategy behind the boycott, based on Professor Wolff's research, was that Gruma could afford neither to wait out a long boycott nor to import tortillas from its facilities outside the region. n94 Waiting out the boycott could cause the company to lose market share to other local manufacturers; importing tortillas could alienate picky Southern California consumers - especially Latinos - who demand that their tortillas be fresh. The reason why Gruma had located its state-of-the-art tortilla plant in East Los Angeles in the first place was to be able to deliver fresh tortillas to area consumers. n95 After seven weeks, the strike and the boycott ended with a new contract providing substantial increases in drivers' pay and benefits.

In sum, academics like Professor Wolff have played a key role in Latinos's successful organizing efforts. Law professors would do well to emulate their activism.

[*1109]

3. Home remedies.

In the health-conscious 1990s, unconventional therapies for chronic illnesses are gaining widespread acceptance, especially among urban, educated, Anglo professionals. n96 A path-breaking study published by the New England Journal of Medicine in 1993 revealed that America's commitment to unconventional forms of therapy is nearly as deep as it is to conventional ones. n97 The study estimated that 34 percent of all United States residents use one or more of sixteen different forms of alternative therapy each year. n98 Tellingly, among patients using unconventional therapy in 1990, nearly two-thirds did not bother to visit an alternative care provider. n99 But the one-third who did made 425 million visits to such care providers at total cost of $13.7 billion. n100 Of this sum [*1110] about three quarters - $10.3 billion - was paid out of pocket. n101 By contrast, the general public made 388 million visits to primary care physicians, and for the conventional hospitalizations ordered by those physicians, paid $12.8 billion out of pocket. n102 Remarkably, patients tended to seek out unconventional and conventional treatments together, usually unbeknownst to their traditional primary care physicians. n103 Of course, many Latino households have never given up the old remedios caseros - home remedies - of our ancestors to cure the common afflictions of human kind. The rich literature available today on home remedies and how to use them owes much to Latino folk traditions, especially those of Mexican-Americans. n104

Why do unconventional therapies continue to flourish alongside the conventional ones embraced by modern medical science? Often, it is because the intervention of Western healing practices alone has failed. "Many of us are searching for a cure, and we take it wherever we can get it - and that is not entirely with traditional medicine," explained a reader who had devoured the Journal's study. n105 Like conventional medicine, conventional labor law often fails the very patients that it is supposed to help. The debilitating "on the job" injuries from which so many workers, especially Latinos, suffer - low pay and anti-democratic working conditions - resist the twin conventional cures that the American legal system offers: enacting new laws and bringing legal actions. As suggested by both labor law scholars [*1111] and legal commentators, n106 the notion that workers' rights can be vindicated primarily by resort to lawmaking and adjudication is belied by the facts. In their book Failed Revolutions, n108 Richard Delgado and Jean Stefancic have identified the source of such failures of law and legal institutions as a defect not in our wills but in our imaginations: "the array of preconceptions, meanings, and habits of mind that limit and frame the horizon of our possibilities." n109 Limitations of imagination necessarily impose limitations on action, whether we are doctors or lawyers, labor leaders or elected officials, legal scholars or society at large.

Organizing among Latino workers has been successful in part because it has not been confined within the conventional limits of labor's imagination. Three strategies have been particularly useful: (a) undertaking
large-scale organizing efforts, (b) pressuring employers through non-traditional self-help tactics, and (c) steering clear of official legal institutions, especially the National Labor Relations Board ("NLRB") and the law it administers, the National Labor Relations Act ("NLRB").

(a) Large-scale organizing efforts. Organizing is expensive, for employers as well as unions. Facing the wage pressures that unionization entails, most employers are understandably resistant to relinquishing any competitive edge in labor costs to their competition by becoming unionized and are willing to spend great effort now to avoid having to spend more on wages and benefits later. n110 Facing such resistance, unions are understandably reluctant to commit scarce resources to difficult organizing campaigns. The result is piecemeal organizing. Unions tend to organize on a "shop by shop" rather than on an "industry by industry" basis, and to focus on so-called "hot shops" - places where in-plant organizing is already underway - when they do make a move.

Although shop-by-shop organizing has its place, too often it is ineffective compared to industry-wide organizing. Pacific drywall hangers working in Southern California homebuilding and janitors embracing the "Justice for Janitors" campaign were successful largely because they gained adherents and demanded a place at the bargaining table by [*1112] attacking all employers in the target industry at once. When an entire industry can be shut down, employers take notice. As LA MAP strategists put it:

Los Angeles can serve as a successful proving ground of the labor movement's ability to organize whole industries, geographic areas and communities at once. Single shop by shop organizing does not generate the energy and excitement necessary to create the momentum needed to make the labor movement the instrument it can become in the lives of workers and their families. This kind of "scale" organizing is necessary ...

In this respect, labor is returning to its roots; industry-wide bargaining is the paradigm attached to traditional federal labor law, especially in the years after World War II. Once an entire industry (or at least, a critical mass of it) is organized, labor costs for each employer in the industry can be equalized. Theoretically, no single employer need compete for the consumer's dollar based on the cost of labor once each employer must pay the same price for it. n111

The main problem with this paradigm is that industrial unionism is dead or dying in industries that are global in nature. n113 As physical and cultural barriers to the movement of capital have fallen, the potential labor markets of many industries have grown. In these industries, the labor pool now includes low-wage, non-union workers abroad. Many of these workers are desperate for any work at any wage. Unless these workers are successfully organized, and unless their wages are substantially raised, even successful large-scale organizing of workers unions in discrete parts of the U.S. economy could be for naught.

(b) Non-strike alternatives. Traditionally, workers dissatisfied with terms and conditions of employment imposed by an employer resorted to their economic weapons of self-help, especially the strike and the picket line. But the harsh realities imposed by the global marketplace for wage labor in so many industries today means that neither the strike nor the picket line poses the economic threat it to employers that it used to. n114  More than ever, these are weapons of last, and sometimes futile, resort. Alternative self-help tactics, however, have been developed, and [*1113] labor's experimentation with them over the past ten to fifteen years has been promising. For some time, unions have successfully undertaken a variety of "campaigns" designed "to challenge management in the workplace, in the community, in the board room, and on Wall Street." n115  In a corporate campaign, consumers may be urged to boycott the employer's products and take other actions aimed at influencing corporate shareholders; sometimes, union members buy stock in a company solely for the purpose of gaining a forum at shareholders' meetings. In a community campaign, members of churches and temples, civic organizations, and other community groups may be urged to contact the employer to express
their concern about its labor relations policies and to participate in rallies and other public events designed to draw unfavorable attention to the employer's behavior. And in a traditional advertising campaign, members of the public at large may be urged to see things the union's way through radio and television spots.

For example, Teamster delivery drivers at Gruma Corp. used variants of both the corporate and community campaigns - the former, by appealing to consumers to boycott Mission- and Guerrero-label tortillas; n116 the latter, by recruiting and deploying a group of UCLA students to go into the Hispanic communities of East and South Central Los Angeles to build support for the boycott. n117

Moreover, taking a cue from members of academy, some unions are reaching across borders to build solidarity abroad for labor disputes having effects at home. For example, at the New Otani Hotel in Los Angeles' Little Tokyo, officials of the Hotel Employees and Restaurant Employees Union traveled to Japan to rally support among Japanese labor leaders and demonstrate against Kajima Corp., the hotel's owner. n118 It was a small step, but an important one. As long-time California labor leader Jack Henning put it: "The only answer to global capitalism is global unionism." n119

(c) Avoiding official legal institutions. Latino workers have overcome tremendous legal obstacles to form and join their unions. The [*1114] built-in shortcomings of federal labor law in the late Twentieth Century have been well-documented; it hardy needs to be pointed out again that the structure of the National Labor Relations Act itself often stands in the way of the effective enforcement of the very employees' rights that the law is supposed to protect. n120 Moreover, up to half of Latino workers are recent immigrants; it is no secret that the entry, residency, and citizenship requirements of the Immigration and Naturalization Act, not to mention their unforgiving application, place high hurdles between Latinos and the jobs they seek to gain and maintain in the United States. n121 Whether documented or undocumented, the choice by so many Latino workers to take up union activism defies the conventional wisdom that immigrants tend to avoid getting involved in workplace or community affairs for fear of deportation, calling unwanted attention to themselves, or both. n122

Instead, what these workers avoided was getting involved in the government bureaucracies that administer federal labor and immigration law. As to labor law, organizers of Latino workers consciously preferred to place their hopes in self-help tactics rather than in the NLRB. LA MAP made this "non-NLRB" strategy an explicit organizing principle. n123 As to immigration law, there is little organizers could do to influence the policies and enforcement practices of the Immigration Naturalization Service. But they could recognize that, despite the formidable barriers posed by the statute and the INS, Latino workers inevitably come to the U.S. and find work here. n124 The very fact that Latino immigrants come in spite of everything done through legal institutions to deter them from doing so suggests the strongest desire to improve their own lives, and the potential for doing so without official government assistance.

[*1115]

III. Some Challenges Facing a Latino-Led Labor Movement

For everything promising that I have mentioned about organizing among Latinos, there is much that is unsettling. At least three serious challenges confront the labor movement in general and a Latino-led labor movement in particular.

First, the arduous task of labor organizing has a long, long way to go before it is in a position to help even half of the low-wage workforce, whether in Los Angeles County or across the United States, whether Latino or otherwise. At barely 10 percent of the private sector non-agricultural workforce, the labor movement remains on the margins of the working lives of most Americans and is likely to remain so for some time to come. Although the AFL-CIO and its affiliated unions have made real progress under John
Indeed, there are already significant casualties. Only a few years after it started, LA MAP is all but dead, mortally wounded by the inability of disparate Southern California unions to put aside their parochial interests in order to pursue the broader organizing agenda conceived by its framers. So notwithstanding the contributions of Latino organizing successes, labor's first, and perhaps overwhelming, challenge will be merely to sustain the modest momentum it has achieved during the 1990s.

Second, to be truly successful, labor has to be inclusive; that is, it must build coalitions among all workers, not just Latinos (and for that matter, not just workers living in the U.S.). As Professor Rudy Acuna and others have noted, even in Los Angeles County, the longstanding tensions between Latinos and Anglos are now supplemented by potently greater tensions between and among Asians, Blacks and Latinos. These tensions show up in many places, including the struggle by poor people for decent jobs at decent wages. Indeed, one of the greatest challenges facing communities of color, including Latino communities, is how to achieve "interracial justice" in an era when their growing influence causes other such communities of color to feel displaced. A Latino-led labor movement that improves the lives of Latino workers at the expense of, or at the perceived expense of, other "others," is doomed to suffer the same insularity that the Anglo-led labor movement has.

Finally, labor's toughest challenge is not organizing workers, but rather, organizing a new vision of social justice. Once labor recruits all the new members it now plans to get, what is it going to do with them? The tension is age-old. During the Nineteenth Century, organized labor saw itself as a means toward the end of civic republicanism, and for a time, the end of capitalism itself; during the Twentieth Century, it saw itself as means toward "business unionism," working in partnership with the owners of capital. It remains to be seen whether, during the Twenty-first Century, labor will be about more than the pursuit of bigger paychecks and better benefits. As one observer has put it: "Are you organizing workers to empower themselves and confront employers or just building dues-paying units and looking for a seat at the table?"

Even the brightest lights in the labor movement don't yet know. "It's too early to devise a strategy," explains SEIU President Andy Stern. "First you've got to get people into the boat and get them rowing. We still don't have the hundreds of organizers we need going out every night; still don't have our pension plans where they should be; still don't have enough experience in winning strikes with community support."

But if the beginning of a new era is too soon to develop a new vision of social justice, when will it be? If the heyday of Cesar Chavez and the United Farm Workers is any indication, there is some reason to believe many people at the core of labor's new movement, especially Latino workers, hunger for more than business unionism; they also want justice, morality, and spirituality. It would seem that the time to discuss organized labor's role, if any, in pursuing these goals is now.

Conclusion

I began this essay by discussing the significance of the UPS strike during the summer of 1997 for Latino workers. For me, this dispute signaled the great potential of the new American labor movement, and with it, the important role that Latino workers are destined to play. Like the other great organizing victories of the 1990s, the UPS strike demon strat not only that Latino workers have much to gain from the labor movement, but also that the labor movement has much to gain from Latino workers. Octavio Paz says that modern man "never surrenders himself to what he is doing," and that "the profoundest part" of him "always remains detached and alert." As a law professor who has the luxury of examining "the profoundest part" of women and men at work, I am finding that there is no better place to study the interdependence of
Latino workers and the labor movement than Los Angeles, where experiments in the future are being conducted every day.
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