
Mary Romero *

BIO:

* Professor, School of Social Justice, College of Public Programs, Arizona State University.
B.A., Regis College, Ph.D. University of Colorado at Boulder. I am indebted to Kevin R. Johnson, Christopher Ruiz Cameron, and George A. Martinez for inviting me to participate in LatCrit and who continue to support my increasing involvement. The warm welcome I received from Frank Valdes, Elizabeth M. Iglesiasas, Guadalupe Luna, Laura Gomez, Sumi K. Cho, Sylvia Lazos, Elvia Arriola, Adrienne Davis, and others has truly been inspiring and uplifting. I am honored that Frank Valdes invited me to write the Afterword to LatCrit IV Symposium. I thank the symposium authors for their challenging articles and their significant contributions to LatCrit social justice agendas. I am grateful for the critical feedback I received from Eric Margolis and the insightful comments I received from Kevin R. Johnson, Sumi Cho and Chris Cameron. Mike Soldatenko and Maria Guitierrez Soldatenko provided me with helpful cites on Chicana/o Studies.

SUMMARY: ... Although groups centering on discrete identities struggled to find a rallying point from which to advocate social justice and coalition building, this has proven to be a difficult project. ... The ways in which race-based movements and racialized communities construct their identities has enormous implications for setting social justice agendas and for coalition building. ... I argue for cooperation and coalition building, for making common linkages, sharing values, and respecting differences. ... A historical analysis of Filipinos in California suggests strategies for coalition building among subordinated groups. ... Each of these works calls into question the legitimacy of certain kinds of historicizing and symbolizing, as well as underscoring the limitations to coalition building that stem from identity construction. ... This type of identity construction has already proven to be an obstacle to coalition building within and outside Chicana/o and Latina/o communities. ... We might take a look at the implications for coalition building with other subordinated groups, what role Latina/o popular culture has in influencing global conversations about contradictions produced by transnational capital, and how popular culture facilitates conversations with other racialized and minoritized people. ...
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Introduction

In the late 1960s and 1970s the civil rights and antiwar movements splintered into an array of groups grounded in identity politics. A quarter of a century later, concern for inclusion, diversity, and difference continues to dominate progressive literature. Although groups centering on discrete identities struggled to find a rallying point from which to advocate social justice and coalition building, this has proven to be a difficult project. Self-criticism in the 1980s called attention to falsehoods lurking behind attempts to make
universal claims about particular kinds of subordination. In the 1990s, these critiques have extended to problems of essentialism n1 and antiessentialism n2. Questions about the framing of racial and ethnic identity, n3 the history n4 and symbols n5 evokes, the incorporation of literature and the arts as antisubordination praxis, n6 and their basis for building coalitions (internationally and domestically) n7 were vigorously discussed and debated at LatCrit IV.

LatCrit IV raised number of challenging and provocative issues, particularly those arising from the apparently uneasy union between the theory and praxis of identity. As I reflected on the issues that arose in the symposium stressing commonalities and respect for difference in coalition building, I recalled a troubling incident that occurred recently where I teach at Arizona State University. Following the Supreme Court decision on the Boy Scout hiring practices that allowed the Boy Scouts to discriminate against gays, n8 the campus newspaper published a cartoon depicting a gay scout master handing a badge to a Boy Scout saying, "Ok Boyth, Who wants to earn their First AIDS merit badge??" During this time, a graduate student in my seminar reported her research findings on student involvement. One of the students she interviewed used this incident as an example of the lack of university-wide support for gay activists. This interviewee remarked that a similar attack on the Chicano community would n9 have generated hundreds of letters to the editor, and Movimento Estudiantil Chicano de Aztlan ("MEChA") would have responded by rallying Chicano students and holding demonstrations. My student selected this interviewee for the study because he had helped to develop a public service component for a new Hispanic fraternity on campus. What I found revealing and alarming about the account was the way he constructed the issues around boundaries or turfs. First, the student did not comprehend how a cartoon attacking gays was "his" issue. He did not perceive that it had anything to do with the narrow range of topics and activities he had defined as "service." Second, he did not consider "activism" within the range of activities that his group participated in. Instead, he perceived Chicano and Latino issues to be narrowly constructed the mission statement of the student organization MEChA. Similarly, MEChA did not voice opposition to the cartoon because they defined political activism within a cultural nationalistic agenda, one that does not include gays or the general category of human rights.

I reflected on this story as I began this Afterword because it captures the tunnel vision inherent in constructing racialized ethnicities that ignore intersectionality and frequently narrow the terrain for coalition building, or make it impossible. The ways in which race-based movements and racialized communities construct their identities has enormous implications for setting social justice agendas and for coalition building. n9 Racialized ethnicities were forged out of centuries of colonialism, conquest, slavery, capitalism, racism, sexism, classism, and the politics of appropriation and co-optation. Consequently, all forms of resistance and struggle pose extremely complex questions. In order to resist effectively, we must constantly reconsider and reconstruct identity. n10 This Afterword reflects on a number of identity issues that emerged in LatCrit IV, paying particularly attention to three objectives: (1) the commitment to the production of both knowledge and community specifically as a means toward an end the attainment of social justice; (2) elucidating intra- and inter-group diversities across multiple identity axes, including those based on perspective and discipline, and; (3) ensuring that African American, Asian American, Native American, Feminist, Queer, and other OutCrit subjectivities are brought to bear on Latinas/os places and prospects under the Anglocentric and heteropatriarchal rule of the United States. n11

I hope to add to ongoing dialogues and critiques of problems in identity politics, particularly the distinctions between identity constructions based on a mythical past, imagined communities stemming from lived and shared experiences, claims of authenticity and cultural nationalism. I begin by discussing reflections on historicizing and symbolizing Latina/o identity in terms of agency in the struggle for social justice. Here, I want to engage questions of commonalities and differences involving both intra-and inter-group diversities. I note several essays that offer lessons for building coalitions that draw upon commonalities. Next, I consider writings that highlight cultural controversies that arise when we assume commonalities that do not lead to coalition building but rather splinter organizing efforts. I draw lessons on
how to ground LatCrit in theory and material reality from historical and contemporary cases analyzed throughout the symposium. I end with a review of lessons learned by discussing the critical intersections and transformative potential that culture offers in the struggles for social justice.

I. Historicizing and Symbolizing Latina/o Identity: Questions of Commonalities and Differences

There is a disturbing parable that I first heard when I taught Chicana/o Studies, I had never heard it growing up: A young child asks a fisherman why he didn't put a lid on a basket of crabs. The fisherman says, "Because the basket contains Mexican crabs. As soon as one of them gets near the top the others drag him back down. They are so busy fighting among themselves that I don't have to worry about any of them reaching the top and getting out." This story has two meanings: the first is the familiar ideology of capitalism: the only path to success is individual effort, and attempts at organizing only drag the hard worker back into the bucket. The second is a message of cultural-hatred -- this behavior is peculiar to Mexicans. The story frequently is told with a coda; pointing to another bucket with a lid, the fisherman completes the story by saying, "This basket has Jewish crabs. They help each other get to the top. I have to keep a lid on here because they will help each other get out of the basket." Each time I heard this story from a Chicana or Chicano undergraduate it was offered as an explanation for why collective action on campus or in the community failed. The ethnicity of the crabs changed in later tellings, but the parable always evokes the same two underlying assumptions: that organizing is counter-productive and that culture explains why some groups have difficulty establishing common ground and helping members get ahead. The "disfunction" of Mexican, black, or Indian crabs was explained by the essential qualities of culture and ancestry, as was the "function" of Jewish, Korean, or West Indian crabs.

I counter the myth by explaining that neither conflict nor organizational ability are essential cultural characteristics, and are no more inherent to Chicanas/os than any other group. I argue for cooperation and coalition building, for making common linkages, sharing values, and respecting differences. I argue for overturning the basket. Although the social constructions of race in the U.S. present identity as fixed and stagnant, ideologies of race are anything but consistent. Political strategies aimed at establishing interracial justice cannot assume that commonalities and differences articulated in multiple identities are devoid of racist ideology and history, and, therefore, necessarily constructive for coalition building. Interracial justice requires recognition of the fluidity of racism and exposing the underlying assumptions in particular constructions of identity that create opportunities or establish barriers to coalition building. Constructing the ground between negotiated commonalities and respected differences is key to building the extremely delicate path toward coalition and antisubordination praxis. In the following section, I begin to identify the lessons offered in LatCrit V concerning historicizing and symbolizing Latina/o identity and the limits or opportunities for progressive coalition building.

A. Lessons for Building and Constructing Common Ground

A historical analysis of Filipinos in California suggests strategies for coalition building among subordinated groups. Professor Leti Volpp's study of the neglected history of Filipinos and the pattern of antimiscegenation laws and enforcement raises questions about the different ways that race has been sexualized and gendered and the ways these characterizations govern marriage contracts, inheritance, and cultural/social legitimacy. Volpp's questions about the implications of legal distinctions made within identity categories of "Asian American" and "Pacific Asian American" is particularly relevant to similar internal hierarchies within the identity category of Latina/o that need further consideration. Linking examples of antimiscegenation laws and Filipinos to Proposition 187, Professor Victor Romero introduces the concept "minority on minority oppression" to explain inter-and intragroup action that "help perpetuate racial stereotypes that separate us rather than unify our communities." He persuasively argues that responses to racism that fail to build bridges with other racially subordinated groups are likely to promote "minority on minority oppression." In addition, Romero illustrates how identity can be constructed and symbolized to include "perceived notions of commonality" that create opportunities for coalition building.
in everyday encounters -- even when they are based on stereotypes. However, the limits of such a beginning are questionable and probably need to be qualified. It is necessary to move beyond stereotypes towards the development of concrete commonalities in social, political and/or economic circumstances.

Bringing the lessons closer to home, three essays offer cautionary appraisals of "both the creation of scholarship through community and community through scholarship." Professors Sumi K. Cho and Robert Westley suggest ways of facilitating coalitions among the generations of race crits and avoiding competing paradigms. They recount "an obscured history that was central to the development of Critical Race Theory ("CRT") "the history of student activism for diversity in higher education from the 1960s to the 1990s." Documenting the history of law students at Boalt, Cho and Westley challenge the commonly held perception that CRT was born at Harvard, and point out that the movement has heterogenous roots. Grounding critical theory in the historical context from which it emerged ties together CRT, LatCrit, APA Crit, Fem Crits, CLS, and NAIL. This grounding also constructs an egalitarian basis for developing and building coalitions to incorporate diverse communities in common struggles and acknowledges "symbiotic relationship between intellectual activists and activist intellectuals." In his panel presentation, Professor Devon Carbado added to growing commentaries on "the old and tired" critiques of the black/white paradigm. He added a caution against continuing critiques that offer no strategic direction methods, or that fail identify the political misuses of the paradigm. He offers concrete suggestions to move dialogues on the various paradigms in directions that offer a basis for coalition building. The importance of community building among scholars of color is particularly salient to the experiences of young legal scholars whose "contributions to legal academia were disrespected, devalued and denigrated" by their home institutions. Professor Pamela Smith's essay provides a number of lessons for tenured and tenure track professors, stressing the need to make connections with professors of color across the disciplines, that experience silencing tactics and hostile academic environments, and to senior colleagues that can provide mentoring.

In the third essay, Professor Tanya K. Hernandez explores the socialist Cuban context of affirmative action to pose difficult questions concerning the case of Afro-Latinas/os that are frequently ignored in LatCrit critiques of the white/black binary. This case study poses a distinction between color, class, and ethnicity that has largely been ignored in the analysis of racial and cultural discrimination. While social research has documented differences among Latinas/os based on color and class, writings on intersectionality are primarily theoretical and narrative. A related issue emerging from Hernandez's work is the question of the comfort zone among Latinas/os dissecting race: Are we more likely to engage in theoretical explorations of our mestizo roots rather than our multiracial roots? More specifically, have we ignored our African roots -- both the Moorish connections from Spain and African heritage from slavery? I will return to these issues of identity construction and the assumption of commonality and difference.

B. Lessons About Assuming Commonalities and Similarities

The most obvious incident of assumed and fictitious commonality was presented in the case study written by Dean Cameron. He analyzes the fight over banning gas-powered leaf blowers, in which Hollywood celebrities often supported the ban under the guise of caring for safer environment and the health and spirituality of the Latino gardeners. Defining the ban as benign guidance was not an attempt to build a coalition but to appropriate the voice of the workers. It served to keep Latino gardeners invisible as workers. The social and class differences between the gardeners and Hollywood celebrities was exacerbated by these attempts to couch their relationship as that of allies rather than of adversaries; no attempt was made to find a common ground to define the issue.

Salient issues that distinguish racialized communities in the U.S. are highlighted in Professor Eric Yamamoto's article. He demonstrates how questions of identity situate groups' political status, historical consciousness, self-determination, human rights and colonialism. These specific questions of
identity are closely related to the underlying conflicts described in Professor Hernandez's essay. While these works call into question the legitimacy of certain kinds of historicizing and symbolizing, as well as underscoring the limitations to coalition building that stem from identity construction. While these essays are primarily aimed at inter-and intra-groups within the U.S., I suggest that they raise serious implications for international coalition building as well.

In her comments on the Native Cultures, Comparative Values and Critical Intersections Panel, Professor Tsosie challenged constructions of Chicana/o identity that claim "indigenous" status and rejects similar claims to ancestral land implied in the concepts of Aztlán and la frontera. While I largely agree with her critique, I do think it is important to note that there are numerous constructions of Chicana/o and Mexican American identity. And while some of these identities are grounded in indigenous ancestry, they do not claim the same political status as Native Americans or Native Hawaiians.

On the one hand, I appreciate Professor Tsosie’s comments because they address the underlying problem with the moviemento indigenista and the growing cultural nationalism in our communities and universities today. On the other hand, her critique has the unfortunate consequence of erasing the basis for one hundred and fifty years of land and water rights struggles in Southern Colorado and Northern New Mexico.

Given the acceptance of the term Chicano and the concept of Aztlán among academicians and writers, the Chicano Movement was extremely successful in unifying a population that had not previously owned its history or culture. While Chicanos are unlikely to distinguish themselves as mestizo or non-mestizo, they do make regional classifications such as Tejanos, Californios, manitos, and they differentiate between Mexican and Chicano, immigrant and nonimmigrant. We also make generational distinctions. Comparisons between rural and urban experience are extremely significant to families who migrated from the countryside of Texas, New Mexico, and California to find employment in Chicago, Detroit, Denver, and Los Angeles. Prior to the commercial homogenization of culture, regional distinctions were observed in linguistic differences and a host of cultural practices including food, music, traditional medicine, santos, shrines, and other religious customs.

I draw attention to these distinctions, not merely to celebrate diversity and acknowledge difference, but to focus on the importance of historical events in shaping social processes and creating and maintaining unique or similar cultures. There is an extensive literature of historical and sociological studies that documents significant regional differences generated by the various religious, government, and labor practices used "to win the West." Each of these institutions contributed to shaping the process of "becoming Mexican American," "becoming Chicano," "becoming Latino," and "becoming Hispanic." These concrete historical, social and cultural processes went beyond individual choice to construct specific but fluid group identities created from group experiences and struggle. The construction of identity involved imagining community and establishing the basis for collective action. Today's (so-called postmodern) identity construction based on personal choice or idiosyncrasy is quite different from one based on a concrete, lived experience. Furthermore, the ways in which identity is historicized and symbolized creates boundaries that do not necessarily promote coalition building in antisuubordination struggles within the inter-or intra-group.

One of the first references to identity politics that I can recall reading was by Carey McWilliams. Writing in the 1940s, he critiqued the identity politics of the elite in Los Angeles in a chapter entitled, "The Fantasy Heritage." At the time Mexicans were excluded from "restaurants, dance halls, swimming pools, and theaters." But, claiming to be direct descendants of "Spanish grandees and caballeros," and building a
"Spain-away-from-Spain," they referred to "a quarter acre and twenty chickens" as a rancho. McWilliams followed with a racial description of Los Angeles' first settlers:

Pablo Rodríguez, Jose Variegas, Jose Moreno, Felix Villavicencio, Jose de Lara, Antonio Mesa, Basilio Rosas, Alejandro Rosas, Antonio Navarro, and Manuel Camero. All "Spanish" [*1611] names, all good "Spanish" except "Pablo Rodríguez" who was an Indian; Jose Variegas, first alcalde of the pueblo, also an Indian; Jose Moreno, a mulatto; Felix Villavicencio, a Spaniard married to an Indian; Jose de Lara, also married to an Indian; Antonio Mesa, who was a Negro; Basilio Rosas, an Indian married to a mulatto; Alejandro Rosas, an Indian married to an Indian; Antonio Navarro, a mestizo with a mulatto wife; and Manuel Camero, a mulatto. The twelfth settler is merely listed as "a Chino" and was probably of Chinese descent. n50

What is most telling is that such references to "Spanish" in our fin de siecle imagination translates into White. This translation is distorted given that Spain's history includes eight hundred years of Moorish domination prior to the colonization of Mexico and the expulsion of Jews in 1492. Clearly, myth making is not the exclusive property of any one group. n51 Early writings of the Chicano Movement claimed Chicanos as the direct descendants of Aztecs and the Southwest as Aztlan, thereby establishing essentialist notions of culture and the nature of mestizos n52 that were later popularized in poetry, art, literature, and dance. n53 In the late [*1612] 1970s and 1980s, Chicana/o writings began to critique aspects of "Aztlan" ideology and challenge certain assumptions, particularly those depicting gender and sexuality. For instance, Mexican n54 and Chicana n55 feminists revisited the portrayal of Malintzin Tenepal or Dona Marina as "La Malinche," the Mexican Eve. n56 In a mytho-symbolic language, they argued that Tenepal could not be traitor of the Mexican people because Mexico was not a nation state at the time and Aztecs had subordinated surrounding tribes. n57 Revisionists argued that Malintzin Tenepal was a heroine that united the tribes in their quest to overthrow the tyranny of the Aztec empire. The most popularized Chicana writings strived to replace the macho representations of Yo Soy Joaquin or Chicano Manifesto with feminist versions of spirituality drawn from MesoAmerica, that (1) replaced the male deities Quetzatcoatl or Huxilopochtli, with the female deities, Tonatzin and Coatlicue, n58 and (2) feminized indigenous identities claiming that "la Raza Comica comes of the union of the Indian mother and the European father."

n59 This genre did not directly challenge Chicanismo but merely packaged cultural nationalism in a feminist voice. The symbolizing used to construct indigenous and mestiza/o identity as the true identity of Chicanas/os in the U.S. had several political consequences that limited its usefulness and hobbled its ability for coalition building that: (1) it lacks historical specificity, n60 (2) it equates biology [the hybrid represented in the concept of mestizo] to a common culture, history and ancestry, (3) it erases 500 hundred years of material reality; n61 (4) it ignores the central importance of social class, n62 (5) it creates dualistic thinking about racial justice, n63 and (6) it centers spirituality while marginalizing concrete historical and sociological analysis. n64 [*1614]

A contemporary version of the "the fantasy heritage" may well include many aspects of cultural nationalism, particularly the movimento indigenista. n65 Primarily structured around dance troupes known as Danzantes, the movement claims a Mexico nation and proclaims cultural nationalism -- frequently expressed in sexist, homophobic, anti-Semitic, racist, and militarist ideology. Ignoring Mexico's complex ethnic, race and class history, as well as the existing indigenous communities still struggling to survive, they claim a distinctive indigenous identity based on a MesoAmerica heritage and culture as members of a Mexico nation. n66 In spite of the obvious contradiction, indigenous and mestizo identity is being claimed as a collective spiritual link. Such an ideology requires a highly selective and distorted vision of Mexico's past. n67 In the romantic imagination, indigenous and mestizo identity becomes highly symbolic and ritualized, ungrounded in the lived experience, cultural competence or struggle that unifies specific communities. n68 Nonetheless, Mexistas burn sage, built sweat lodges, and claim a position at international conferences on indigenous rights and struggles. n69 This produces, not coalition, but increased tension and strains surrounding their claims of an identity as "a people/a tribe." In his critique of
Anzaldua’s identity construction of the new mestiza, Benjamin Alire Saenz captured the embedded contradictions in appropriation of indigenous identity:

In wanting to distance herself from dominant European discourses, which she views as dualistic, oppressive, and racist, Anzaldua gestures toward mythologies and cultures that I cannot believe are truly her own. Acknowledgment of mixed ancestry is not in itself problematic; it is far better to acknowledge the competing cultures we literally inherit than to base our identities on ridiculous (and dangerous) notions of “purity” and “pedigree” such as those that gave rise to Nazi Germany and the current wars of ethnic cleansing in Eastern Europe. . . . By calling herself a mestiza, she takes herself out of a European mind-set. She refuses to refer to herself as "Hispanic"; to do so would be to embrace an identity that admits no competing discourses, that admits only a European history and erases any indigenous consciousness. Her impulse is to defy that her "Indianness" has been destroyed. But her "Indianness" has been destroyed -- just as mine has. I do not find it productive to build a politics and an identity centered on "loss." n70

Characterized as a form of oppositional culture or culture of resistance in the face of internal colonialism and institutional racism, the romanticism of pre-Columbian traditional ways, coupled with the appropriation of living indigenous cultures, is inconsistent with the decolonization process that Franz Fanon n71 and Paulo Freire n72 described. Embracing an oppositional culture that substitutes adherence to perceived tradition for assimilationist ideology hampers the progress toward decolonization because it discourages dialectic consciousness-raising or liberating techniques involving self-criticism.

A serious confrontation with our mestizo heritage is a complex project; one that needs to include accepting historical responsibility and recognizing privileges gained by neither being fullbloods [*1616] nor assimilating into Spanish/Mexican culture. n73 An argument that claims (or mandates) mestizo and indigenous identity as a political identity, but remains centered on pre-Columbian mythology assumes commonalities with indigenous people that is not based on our material existence or historical and current struggles in the U.S. While I recognize that the spirituality gained through the mythology of pre-Columbian gods and goddesses may be inspirational to some individuals, the imagined community is thoroughly exclusionary. This type of identity construction has already proven to be an obstacle to coalition building within and outside Chicana/o and Latina/o communities.

The problematic politics of an indigenous identity that places tradition above concerns for social justice is an issue that can be shared with Native Americans. Like the manitos that claim the Colorado's San Luis Valley, Mora Country, Tierra Amarilla and other land grant areas of New Mexico as their homeland, n74 and have been forced off their land in search of jobs, many Native Americans were dispossessed of their land and tribal position through various means. Too often the litmus test of "tradition" and "authenticity" is used to deny membership to mixed-bloods, detrified or nonreservation Indians. n75 Denying the urban Indian experience, their struggles, and cultural production advances the assimilationist project that began under Richard Pratt at the Carlisle Indian school. n76 No community confronted by the racist colonial past of U.S. policies can wrap themselves in traditionalism and be assured of developing and maintaining an anti [*1617] subordination agenda. Nor will such a policy result from the refusal to engage in self-critique or address issues of essentialism.

The salience of particular kinds of historicizing and symbolizing also appear in the essays that revisit the controversies over religion and coalition theory and praxis. n77 Professor Luna's n78 analysis of the establishment and enforcement of Spanish law by clergy of the Catholic Church in the California missions alerts us to the need to recognize the historical and religious linkages of canon law, statutes, and doctrine to the subordination of Indians and mestizos. Grounding her discussion in a historical context, she avoids the tendency to make the essentialist arguments that appear in more general abstract discussions. n79 At the same time, universalizing the Catholic experience among Latinas/os on the basis of theology is inaccurate because each cultural group had a unique history to the Church. The uniqueness is characterized by the incorporation of specific cultural rituals and icons (including saints and distinctive versions of Mary). n80
Similarly, the Catholic Church's selective appropriation of indigenous culture provided parishioners with a variety of cultural flavors. Cultural variation within Catholicism is not limited to music, language, rituals, or icons but also includes of other ideologies (feminism, nationalism, humanism) and a wide range of beliefs and behaviors. The task, to ascertain how religious praxis may "promote or obstruct the liberation struggles and antisubordination imperatives that have coalesced in and around the LatCrit movement," is most clearly illuminated in Luna's analysis of specific struggles of resistance within the Church rather than centering the discussion on theology or the mythology of saints and icons.

C. Historicizing and Symbolizing Material Realities

As the articles in the symposium show, connecting LatCrit theory and praxis to the concrete political struggles of Latina/o communities and other subordinated groups outside the academy, rather than to mythology and theology, promises to bring focus and clarity to the movement. Reclaiming our intellectual history is best achieved by grounding our project in the resistance and struggle of activists. Professor Gil Gott's essay provides an excellent example of locating the roots of the intellectual and political project of critical race theory in the work of "activists such as Ida B. Wells, DuBois, Paul Robeson, Mary McLeod Bethune, Arturo Schomburg, Addie Hunton and Alphaeus Hunton, Jr." Drawing from this rich history of political thought and praxis, we can see how to bridge difference and build coalitions as suggested in Professor Gott's call for a critical race globalism. Recovering our intellectual and political history has been a major project since the fragmenting identity politics of the 1970s and continues today. Shifting our search for roots from the feet of MesoAmerican or Catholic deities to human social activists such as Sara Estela Ramirez, Ricardo Flores Magon, Juan Jose Herrera, Lucia Gonzalez Parsons, Teresa Urrea, Emma Tenayca, and Ernesto Galarza has the potential to set us firmly on the path towards antisubordination theory and praxis.

The value of historical specificity or employing "a kind of political impact determination" in the investigation of LatCrit theory cannot be overstated. Several of the essays provide evidence of the strength of grounded analysis. A fine example of historical specificity in race identity is found in Professor Ediberto Roman essay. By analyzing the race debate surrounding the Spanish American War and occupation of territories in the Caribbean and the Pacific, Roman demonstrates that the debate is not merely legislative history but has become "part of the United States Supreme Court jurisprudence." Roman thus demonstrates how "race has always been a real but unspoken factor in international policy." Professor Donna Coker's assessment of the actual material resources available in intervention programs takes into consideration conditions that determine different outcomes for Latinas and other poor women of color. Rather than falling back on models of cultural determinism that characterize Latinas as submissive, suffering and fatalistic, Coker emphasized the intersectionality of multiple identity axes and highlighted structural barriers to obtaining services, such as bilingual services, citizenship, unemployment, and police community relations. William Tamayo, Regional Attorney for the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission ("EEOC"), draws upon past experience with political asylum applicants and battered immigrant women in "challenging the cultural limits and cultural-based assumption of the staff" in order to investigate the rape and sexual abuse of nonEnglish speaking Latina immigrant farm workers. Analyzing the recent antibilingual education initiatives in California, Professors George Martinez and Kevin Johnson demonstrate concrete ways that persons of Mexican ancestry have been discriminated against in each initiative and argue for using "discrimination by proxy" as a doctrinal tool to strengthen antidiscrimination laws. This is a very important type of analysis that addresses the more subtle and covert forms of racism that are replacing familiar but the previously unmasked forms.

II. Critical Intersections Through Culture

The urgency of Professor Eric Yamamoto's thesis that "cultural performance" is a viable means to influence the cultural frameworks of decisionmakers, became apparent after reading Professor Larry Cata Backer's findings on the limited penetration that outsider scholarship has made in the courts.
Using citations in the opinions of courts to measure the degree of acceptance of the legal writings by women and scholars of color, Backer concludes by noting that most successes have been experienced in "the political and cultural life of the states." Yamamoto's powerful account of "a multifaceted hula dance program performed by a multiracial group of law students and faculty during the Jurist-in-Residence program two years ago" shows how cultural performance has the potential to penetrate the individual framework of supreme court justices. Yamamoto imagines the influence that this cultural performance may have in (re)presenting the history of indigenous Hawaiians to members of the Supreme Court who might not otherwise understand their political status in Rice v. Cayetano. Yamamoto challenges legal advocates to go beyond the work of crafting doctrinal arguments by including strategies for cultural transformation. There are brilliant examples from the community to draw from, including: CHRLA's use of novelas to organize domestic workers, Nuyorican Poets, Luis Alfaro, Marisela Norte, Culture Clash, El Vez, Coco Fusco, Guillermo Gomez Pena, and many others. The overwhelming enthusiasm in the U.S. over the revival of Cuban music presented in the film and CD of The Buena Vista Club will no doubt play an important role in shaping the American public's cultural framework for expanding diplomatic channels in Cuba.

Critical intersections between the arts and legal commentary are further illuminated in Professor Pedro A. Malavet's essay. Drawing on his extensive experience as the editor of several books on storytelling, he extends "insightful and powerful social, political and legal commentaries" to other art forms of the narrative. Citing examples of popular culture, including such forms as Afro-Cuban Jazz, Salsa, poetry, and dance, he points to the political significance and the potential for re-thinking, refiguring and reproducing narratives of nation, citizenship, class, race, gender and sexuality. Discussing Jamaican popular music as anti-subordination praxis, Nicholas A. Guinia's reviews ways that Reggae functions as both a "tool for resisting oppression" and a "vehicle for communicating and promoting values, ideas and beliefs."

In much the same way, Professor Lillian Manzor analyzed Camelita Tropicana, a Cuban-American lesbian art performer, to call attention to the power of narratives and story telling in subverting essentialist constructs of race, gender and sexuality. The intersections between LatCrit and narrative story telling found in the arts suggest innovative ways for outsider scholarship to penetrate the cultural frameworks of decision makers and the political process.

Future LatCrit sessions on popular culture might incorporate inquiries into the ways that the production and consumption of Latina/o popular culture in the U.S. is being transformed by the transnational flows of capital and people. We might take a look at the implications for coalition building with other subordinated groups, what role Latina/o popular culture has in influencing global conversations about contradictions produced by transnational capital, and how popular culture facilitates conversations with other racialized and minoritized people. The growth of "world music," the easy availability of video technology, and the spread of cultural forms through the Internet, make the issue of popular culture a particularly promising area for critical theory.

Conclusion: A Cautionary Tale

When I began my academic career twenty years ago, I was inspired by the transformative potential and political activism shaping Chicana o Studies. As I look back, I can see many important intellectual and political contributions that my generation has made. However, I am also aware of our shortcomings and the pitfalls we tumbled into. There were originally two linked goals: 1) creation of a political vision linking intellectual production to community activism; 2) the elimination of oppression. However, as faculty and students undertook the process of institutional building, their political strategies of control and autonomy within academia shifted towards efforts to acquire resources and stability within the institution. Establishing journals, building an academic association, and developing curricula lead to the development of characteristics similar to traditional disciplines. Gradually the critical edge and link to community struggle lessened as scholarship and student activity became focused on identity issues and not anti-subordination praxis. The cultural nationalism that dominates current political discussion and debate...
within Chicana/o Studies, was largely fueled by an emphasis on arts and humanities that was not grounded in a social justice agenda but served narrowly construed identity politics. Even as early as the late 70s, the move towards "doing culture" as a priority of identity politics was apparent. Gomez-Quinones remarked that:

Without class identification and political participation this is at best neutral. At worst, it becomes deceptive, diversionary, and conservative, thus supportive of the status quo. Cultural activity, quo culture, even in groups ostensibly allied to the political movement, retains this conservative character.

Building on cultural or racial identity rather than specific antisubordination theories and praxis has resulted in the establishment of interdisciplinary programs that provide Latina/o faculty and students with an academic home but frequently bear more similarities to the larger institution than differences. For instance, on my campus, Chicano/a Studies continues to accept funding from Motorola in the face of strong evidence of the company's continued pollution in communities heavily populated by Chicanas/os. Moreover they accepted a substantial grant from Wells Fargo -- the bank that assisted Oregon Steel in surviving a strike at their Colorado Fuel and Iron Company in Pueblo. The CF&I strike consisted largely of Chicano workers. With a growing number of students majoring in business, efforts are underway to develop an undergraduate bidisciplinary program with the Business School. Needless to say, this program does not emphasize the concerns of workers but rather of employers and corporate interests.

Replicating traditional disciplines also involved institutionalizing norms and values. Arrogance and self-importance crept into daily interaction between faculty. Hypercriticism and personal grievances repackaged as "political" limited intellectual discourse and community participation in the National Association for Chicana and Chicano Studies. Academic cliques emerged around the practice of selective citation, and perceived stars became legitimated through this institutional practice. Meanwhile as jargon intensified and relevance dissipated the applicability of their writings to community struggle waned. In our enthusiasm to produce interdisciplinary knowledge in LatCrit, I hope that the errors made by ethnic and cultural studies will not be uncritically embraced or reproduced.

Identity politics and resurgent nationalism have made coalition building in our demographically changing communities difficult and have made it impossible, in many instances, to undertake common projects with our neighbors in the black, Asian, Native American, and poor white communities. All too often we see ourselves involved in a zero sum game where black political gains are seen as Latina/o losses. This ideology hinders our ability to address the pressing substantive issues of race, gender and class oppression - locally, nationally, and internationally. Nationalism has slowed our progress in addressing issues of gender and sexuality. Relying on exhausted tropes of ethnic specificity, ethnic solidarity and other essentialized notions of community, we find ourselves with an identity stripped of the national and international struggles for human rights and alone in the fight against racism and class oppression.

Advancing LatCrit's "commitment to the production of both knowledge and community specifically as a means to social justice" involves transforming the crab parable from cultural determinism to a message that organizing is both productive and essential in antisubordination struggle. The LatCrit project has the potential to rebuild misdirected and fragmented ethnic studies discourses. LatCrit discourse has already influenced interdisciplinary writings and revived the link between scholarship and community struggle. The LatCrit web page, LatCrit Primer, and the LatCrit-Student Outreach Listserv will further strengthen links towards antisubordination struggle. All of these efforts will hopefully transform future responses of student activists on campuses and in the community to identify with the larger category of human rights rather than fragmenting along lines of race, ethnicity, sexuality, and other dissected identities.
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