LATCRIT GOES INTERNATIONAL

Kevin R. Johnson [FN1]

This LatCrit Theory Colloquium on International and Comparative Law is comprised of papers presented at the Facultad de Derecho de la Universidad de Buenos Aires in Buenos Aires, Argentina in August 2003. Titled “The Role of Constitutional and Legal Systems in Maintaining or Reforming Political, Social, Economic and Legal Arrangements,” the Colloquium continues an international series of events that began in Miami, Florida in 1996, [FN1] and in 2004, was held in Capetown, South Africa. [FN2]

Designed to literally take LatCrit international, the Colloquium series encourages LatCrit theorists to engage with scholars from around the world in the global antisubordination project. [FN3] In this way, LatCrit Theory has moved not only across disciplines, a trademark of Critical Race Theory, [FN4] but also across borders to analyze the linkages between and among subordinations in an era of much-heralded globalization.

The Critical Global Classroom, a summer program for law students, is another part of the effort to move LatCrit Theory prominently into the international realm. [FN5] Through coordinated classes for socially conscious law students in different countries each year, LatCrit scholars and students are exposed to the conditions of racial and economic subordination outside the United States, which informs their scholarship generally by allowing *xi them to consider the intricacies of the interrelationships between racial and other subordinations on an international scale. The overall international presence also allows LatCrit scholars to build intellectual community with scholars from different nations who share common interests in social justice.

Each colloquium hopes to build on past scholarly efforts, including the LatCrit annual symposium issues. [FN6] At this point in LatCrit’s history as the tenth annual conference approaches, a substantial body of antisubordination scholarship has been developed. [FN7] Extensive thought, discussion, and, at times, conflict go into the planning of all LatCrit projects, especially the annual conferences. The organizers are open to new ideas and solicit proposals for panels, workshops, and other programs, as was the case with the 2003 Colloquium in Buenos Aires.

The contributions to the 2003 Colloquium are eclectic, bringing together scholars from many different disciplines, nations, perspectives, and analytical approaches. One senses a great intellectual energy and enthusiasm in reading the studies of subordination around the world. The methodologies and focal points of the papers vary dramatically. One of the articles aptly analyzes the difficulties in this type of transnational intellectual endeavor considering the world's most pressing social, political, and economic issues. At their core, all of the contributions share a common anti-subordination, social justice agenda.
This introduction first will generally describe the Colloquium on International and Comparative Law articles and then will offer observations about the direction of the scholarship. I then comment on the direction of LatCrit generally.

I. THE CONTRIBUTIONS

The 2003 Colloquium papers are difficult to unify by identifying any but the broadest common themes. This section describes them with a broad brush and, when possible, attempts to link them to other LatCrit projects and scholarship.

*A. Argentina and Beyond*

The contributions analyze subordination the world over, from Argentina to Latin America to North America, South America, and India. Like the Colloquium, they begin in Argentina.

In a contribution most appropriate for a conference set in Buenos Aires, Carina J. Miller analyzes the impacts on Jews of the economic crisis that currently grips Argentina. [FN8] This is but another example of the construction of different races [FN9] as well as on the intersection of race and class. [FN10] Jewish racial identity long has been analyzed in the United States, [FN11] as apparently is the case in Argentina. Similarly, the increasing study of conceptions of race and racial categories in Latin America in recent years has shed much light on the fluidity of race in those countries. [FN12] The economic crisis in Argentina and its linkage to globalization warrants sustained analysis and critique. Miller's article reveals how the economic crisis has had disparate impacts on one segment of Argentine society.

Becky Jacobs analyzes judicial reform in Latin America, a pressing public policy issue that unquestionably affects adherence to the rule of law. [FN13] The article builds on the work of Professor Elizabeth Iglesias, [FN14] one of the influential Critical Race Theory and LatCrit scholars who has *xiiii* focused on international linkages between racial and economic subordination in other countries. [FN15] LatCrit theorists have carefully scrutinized the global linkages that result in domestic subordination. Jacobs's contribution, as well as others in the Colloquium, fit well into this body of scholarship. [FN16]

Hugo Rojas shows us how much is similar across borders in considering new forms of discrimination against workers in Chile. [FN17] Workers in the global marketplace continue to suffer exploitation and limited legal recourse, which arguably has been worsened by globalization of the world economy. [FN18] We would expect to see this throughout the world.

*xiv* Charles R. Venator Santiago continues his important analysis of racial and class subordination in the Caribbean. [FN19] Having previously studied Puerto Rico and the Dominican Republican, [FN20] he analyzes Haiti, the poorest nation in the Western Hemisphere and one that has suffered much-publicized violence and political tumult for decades. Venator Santiago considers the Constitution of Haiti and how it mediated and affected the rights of blacks and whites during a time in the early 1800s when Haiti and the Dominican Republic were united. [FN21]

Dominique Legros moves the Colloquium to the north of the United States with analysis of international issues raised by Canada's dealings with the Tutchone Indians of the Central Yukon Territory in Canada. [FN22] Some LatCrit scholarship has focused on the treatment of indigenous peoples, [FN23] although much work remains to be done. This inquiry has proven difficult given the racial mixture and the mestizaje of many Latina/os.
U.S. law is notoriously ambivalent about its native peoples, vacillating between treating Indian tribes as sovereign nations and as the equivalent of state and local municipalities. [FN25] Other nations no doubt face similar issues.

Showing the global reaches of LatCrit Theory, but tying it to real world needs, Deon Erasmus considers a land reform bill under consideration in South Africa. [FN26] Disputes over land are central to the grievances of subordinated peoples in nations around the world. [FN27] The South African proposal would allow communities to secure title to land that they already use. [FN28] The political movement for the land reform law appears to be part of the continuing effort to bring positive political change to South Africa in the post-apartheid era. [FN29] We can expect more scholarship on this general topic from the 2004 LatCrit Colloquium on International and Comparative Law in Capetown.

Karin van Marle also considers the future of race relations in South Africa. [FN30] She analyzes the limits of law in securing lasting social change, a topic of sustained scholarly inquiry in the United States, [FN31] and focuses on one significant effort to redress inequality -- the South African Employment Equity Act, which defines blacks, women, and the disabled as its protected groups. Contending that white women have been the primary beneficiaries of the law, van Marle argues that the law has done precious little to promote racial equality in post-apartheid South Africa. [FN32] This problem harkens back to the influential Critical Race Theory analysis of the different treatment and experiences of black and white women. [FN33] Van Marle sees the experience with the South African Employment Equity Act as an example of "legal fundamentalism" no different from the legal formalism criticized by, among many others, Duncan Kennedy. [FN34] In an interesting discussion, van Marle contends that aesthetic portrayals would make the impacts of the enforcement of the Employment Equity Act clearer for the world to see. [FN35]

Fred Evans looks at Salman Rushdie's depiction of "hybrid voices" in India from a philosophical perspective. [FN36] India and Indians have been analyzed from a number of different critical perspectives. [FN37] This taps into the LatCrit commitment to antiessentialism and the recognition of heterogeneous communities of races. [FN38] As with other racial phenomena, this is a global, not just a local, pattern.

B. Bridging the Gap

Two contributions bridge the gap between domestic and the global. These contributions address strategies to promote social change, which are relevant both domestically and globally.

A leading Critical Race Theory scholar, [FN39] John Calmore's contribution to the Colloquium analyzes the complexities of a lawyer advocating for social change and integrating the voices of the disenfranchised into reform strategies. [FN40] This is important not just domestically but internationally as well. The growth of international human rights class actions in recent years reflects efforts to use domestic tools to bring about international reform. [FN41] Human rights activists have used the U.S. courts in attempts to seek redress for serious human rights abuses in other countries. [FN42] The litigation in which Holocaust victims sought damages from banks, insurance companies, and others in connection with the atrocities committed by Nazi Germany, is perhaps the most well-known litigation of this type. [FN43]

Domestically, African Americans have engaged in litigation seeking reparations for the atrocity of chattel slavery in the United States. [FN44] Litigation success is not the only goal of the litigation. As Professor Charles Ogletree has emphasized,
The reparations movement demands that all of American history be fully acknowledged, accounted for, and valued. Thus the movement must make one of its vital political and educational tasks the goal of combating the willed ignorance and ahistoricism exhibited by those who would deny the immediate and subsequent effects of slavery and Jim Crow. [FN45]

International human rights actions pursue similar goals. [FN46]

Progressive lawyering has been a much analyzed issue in recent years. [FN47] Calmore, the editor of a casebook on the subject, [FN48] considers social justice law practice and discusses his methods in a Social Justice Lawyering class that he teaches; student exercises in the course include the drafting of a complaint that offers voice to the client. [FN49]

With its global ambitions, LatCrit Theory is a natural place for taking the analysis of lawyering for social change international. [FN50] The International and Comparative Law Colloquium series would seem to be a natural place for law professors promoting social change to consider how lawyers might bring about that change. This is vitally important to LatCrit theorists, a group of scholars seriously committed to social change.

* Focusing on social change, Frances Olsen considers the successful, and much publicized, strategy to remove U.S. military testing from the island of Vieques in Puerto Rico. [FN51] This, in fact, is a domestic U.S. matter given that since 1898 Puerto Rico has been a U.S. territory. [FN52] An influential feminist scholar, [FN53] Olsen moves beyond that realm to consider social change movements generally, but begins with the military testing issue in Puerto Rico. She attributes the success to the solidarity of the Puerto Ricans directly affected as well as the Puerto Ricans on the mainland of the United States. [FN54] This shows the importance of collective political action, moving beyond the differences that separate us, and the need for continuing the study of coalitions in pursuit of social change. [FN55]

* Both Calmore and Olsen offer important food for thought for social change. Their analyses have both domestic and international implications and, consequently, deserve careful study.

C. New Methodologies

One of the exciting aspects of LatCrit Theory is the steady stream of new perspectives and methodologies brought to bear on old problems. These new ways of looking at the world are offered in concise articles. At times, the shortness of the pieces leaves much to be said. At least one influential critic has criticized "discourse about discourse," and suggested that critical theorists need to focus critical scrutiny on concrete real world problems, especially those that focus on socioeconomic class. [FN56]

José María Monzón analyzes the impacts on images and the law. [FN57] This form of argument was analyzed specifically in the conception of the "alien" in the U.S. immigration laws in the first LatCrit International and Comparative Law symposium [FN58] and long has been an important element of Critical Race Theory. [FN59]

Emiliani J. Buis considers law and drama, [FN60] a variation of the burgeoning law and literature movement in the United States. [FN61] Analysis of culture generally has been considered in LatCrit scholarship as well * as Critical Race Theory literature. [FN62] Indeed, films have been the subject of critical inquiry. [FN63]
II. LESSONS FROM THE COLLOQUIUM AND THE FUTURE OF LATCRIT THEORY

One of the strengths of LatCrit Theory has been its sustained creative energy and continuing devotion to scholarship. The contributions to this Colloquium demonstrate the vibrancy of intellectual discourse within the global LatCrit community, as well as its expanding frontiers. LatCrit has kept it interesting and exciting, exuding enthusiasm, collegiality, and ambition.

At the same time, however, after reading the contributions to the Colloquium, some cautionary observations are in order, especially because similar issues arise consistently in LatCrit symposia over the years. This body of scholarship has not received much attention outside of LatCrit circles, perhaps because many believe that the attacks on Critical Race Theory [FN64] apply with equal force to this scholarly offshoot. The variation in quality of LatCrit contributions also may explain why it has remained outside of the dominant gaze.

We must constructively criticize LatCrit scholarship if we want to truly consider ourselves to be in a scholarly, intellectually rigorous movement. It is a sensitive topic, however. LatCrit has, for the most part, been ignored *xxii in mainstream scholarship and internal criticism must be careful in order to avoid giving fuel to the argument that LatCrit is intellectually bankrupt. [FN65]

The following thoughts are inchoate suggestions about the direction of LatCrit scholarship, with a specific emphasis on the symposia and colloquia.

A. The Need to Build on LatCrit Scholarship

Care must be taken to ensure that the full body of LatCrit Scholarship is considered and incorporated into later iterations of LatCrit Scholarship. This has been said on more than one occasion. [FN66] Many of the pieces in this Colloquium seem to be stand alone studies of particular questions without a serious effort to place the analysis into the larger context of LatCrit scholarship.

Building a body of intellectual discourse requires actual engagement with existing literature. New scholarship must analyze, critique, and credit extant literature. Otherwise, it is not, in fact, intellectual interchange or a true scholarly movement. One might think that certain scholarship warrants no comment because it is weak. It does no one any favors, however, to ignore scholarship and simply not acknowledge its existence. Indeed, this is one attempted rationalization for the approach of the "imperial scholar" identified by Richard Delgado. [FN67] Ignoring scholarship in its entirety marginalizes it and suggests that it is unworthy of recognition.

Although page limits exist to ensure inclusion of all submissions, there may be a need for more pages and fewer submissions to be published in the symposia and colloquia issues. More detailed scholarship in LatCrit Theory is essential, perhaps placed in mainstream law reviews that are not symposia issues so as to maximize exposure to the legal academy. The *xxiii market of legal scholarship then could evaluate the quality of the scholarship.

In this vein, we must avoid the lure of a quick publication solely because of the publication opportunity presented by LatCrit symposia and colloquia. Quality scholarship is central to the LatCrit endeavor. It may be sacrificed if the focus is on meeting deadlines and publication for publication's sake. Excessive attention paid to meeting a deadline may take attention away from the development of scholarship of the highest
quality. Smaller symposia contributions limit time available for more in-depth LatCrit inquiries.

International colloquia like this one pose special challenges in LatCrit scholarship. Joshua Price and María Lugones analyze the difficulties in having an interdisciplinary dialog across national boundaries. Establishing a field of discourse such as LatCrit is fraught with difficulties when intellectuals come from so many different backgrounds, methodologies, and perspectives. Language alone can serve as a formidable barrier limiting communication between scholars. As Price and Lugones demonstrate, cultural, national origin, and racial differences can also limit communication. In addition, access to the LatCrit literature is more difficult for scholars outside the United States. One important effort in the international dissemination of LatCrit has been to ensure that past symposia are posted on the Internet, and thus are easily accessible to scholars all over the world.

To address these issues, structural and institutional responses may be in order. Indeed, some are in the works. Efforts should be made to plan panels and programs, consistent with the openness of the proceedings, to ensure that the scholarship produced by the symposia and colloquia in fact builds on past LatCrit scholarship. To ensure that the scholarship is rigorous, LatCrit theory may need selection procedures and the rejection of some contributions. This is not an easy endeavor and, in certain respects, is contrary to the egalitarianism of LatCrit as an intellectual endeavor.

The publication of LatCrit scholarship in mainstream journals outside of LatCrit symposia is also a possibility. To this point, this has been a rare occurrence. It may have, to a certain extent, isolated LatCrit within domestic U.S. legal scholarship.

A different, but possibly constructive, direction is signaled by the new LatCrit journal, tentatively known as Clave. Movement from a student reviewed to a peer reviewed editorial system offers much promise at ensuring quality as well as timely publication. Despite the benefits, the new journal probably will not mainstream LatCrit theory.

B. The Relevance of Latina/os to LatCrit Theory

Latina/os are relevant to LatCrit Theory, and were the focal point of much early LatCrit scholarship. Today, they at times seem to get lost in the shuffle. It is striking that a LatCrit scholar might pose the question, "where is the 'Lat' in LatCrit?" This can be alienating to some scholars who flocked to LatCrit Theory in hopes of analyzing the Latina/o condition in the domestic and international spheres.

The need to refocus LatCrit on Latina/os makes the tentative plans to build links between LatCrit theorists and Chicana/o Studies scholars, which have been under consideration by the LatCrit Inc. Board of Directors for several years, all the more important. Chicana/o Studies scholars look at similar issues and consider common questions. They also have experienced divides between cultural studies and social scientists that resemble that which is ongoing in Critical Race Theory.

B. Missed Opportunities: The Need to Address Pressing Social Issues

To be blunt, LatCrit Theory cannot avoid the pressing social problems plaguing the world. Richard Delgado has made this point emphatically, and it is worth emphasizing that issues of economics should be of increasing concern to Critical Race and LatCrit theorists.
Although the commitment to egalitarianism is important, it might be worthwhile for conference organizers to work on ensuring that conference *xxv* participants also plan to submit publishable papers addressing critical social justice issues. The LatCrit symposia frequently have failed to include contributions on timely, and important, issues. It is difficult to predict the pressing issues of tomorrow. But even when a presentation is made on a timely issue, a paper may not be submitted by the panelist for publication. LatCrit symposia often include papers not presented at the live event as well as papers that were. Some of the concerns with coverage and quality have become voiced regularly, in informal conversations if not so much in print. Efforts must be made to ensure high quality scholarship in all LatCrit symposia and that the articles cover important new questions of law, policy, and theory.

One surprising aspect of the series of papers in this Colloquium on International and Comparative Law is the pressing issues not addressed or raised in them. Two issues that immediately come to mind are the impacts of globalization on Latin America, as well as the effects of the "war on terror" on the region. In certain respects, their omission is a lost opportunity.

1. Impacts of Globalization on Latin America

Much attention has been paid to the impacts of globalization and the specific impacts of trade expansion, including the World Trade Organization, the European Union, the North American Free Trade Agreement, on developing nations and the poor in the developed world. This is an ongoing process with global trade blocs in formation in Latin America, [FN76] which makes the failure to discuss them in more detail in this International and Comparative Law Colloquium all the more striking. There has been a great deal of concern with the impacts of the North American Free Trade Agreement, for example, on poverty in Mexico. [FN77] Those developments have, in the estimation of some informed observers, injured workers in the developing world and restructured economies to benefit the economically privileged. [FN78] In contrast, other commentators *xxvi* contend that the negative impacts are outweighed by potential positive economic impacts, such as the reduction of migration controls within the new emerging trade blocs [FN79] and growing economies that benefit all.

One is left to wonder from this international and comparative law colloquium held in Latin America what caused the economic woes that have hit the region. The omission is all the more surprising because the event was held in Argentina, which has been particularly hard hit by the economic downturn. Two papers discuss Argentina, one touching on the disparate impacts of the poor economy. [FN80] There is general literature on the subject, but, to this point, not the LatCrit analysis that is necessary. [FN81] Globalization of the world economy, the development of free trade in the region, International Monetary Fund policy, as well as other economic factors, warrant inquiry. [FN82]

It also would seem important to build on the efforts to link the global with the local and to consider the impact of global policies on Latina/os in the United States. At times, the past scholarship seems to get lost in the shuffle. [FN83] LatCrit theory at its best has considered local phenomena and race relations, within the context of global economic and political developments. For example, Bob Chang and Keith Aoki thoughtfully scrutinized the impacts of global developments on relations between Asian Americans and Latina/os in the small Los Angeles suburb of Monterey Park. [FN84]

Consider as another example the background offered for a comprehensive study of another small Latina/o working class community on the outskirts of Los Angeles; a
Chicana/o Studies scholar, Gilda Ochoa *xxvii steps back to place the local relations as part of larger economic relationships between the United States and Mexico and beyond:

In the final decades of the twentieth century, U.S. transnational corporations, with the support of governmental policies, deindustrialized the United States and moved many of their manufacturing plants to destinations including "free trade zones." These free trade zones, numbering two hundred in Mexico in 1992, are industrial regions where minimal or no tariffs are paid to export materials and where environmental and child labor laws are routinely violated. The U.S. Congress approved the ... [North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA)] ..., which went into effect in 1994. NAFTA's aim is to remove tariff barriers between Mexico, Canada, and the United States. While supporters of such neo-liberal policies argue that they will benefit U.S. residents and will limit emigration from Mexico, these policies have spurred internal migration as subsistence farmers have been pushed off their land and have not been able to compete with U.S. agriculturalists. Likewise, increases in international migration have been positively correlated with the increases in U.S. factories and businesses in Mexico. Part of this international movement of people may be attributed to the fact that international migration from the countryside to free trade zones often exposes individuals to the English language and to television images of the United States as the land of opportunity where they might earn higher wages for similar work. Despite the reduction of barriers for corporations and capital to move across borders, the movement of Mexicans into the United States continues to be restricted, and more expensive surveillance technology has forced some migrants to seek other, more dangerous routes to enter the United States. [FN85]

Professor Ochoa may not be correct on all counts; her analysis, however, is precisely the type that Chicana/o Studies scholars ordinarily engage in. [FN86] We must look globally as well as locally. LatCrit theorists should look to Chicana/o Studies, one of the precursors of LatCrit theory, [FN87] as a guide to analyzing the pressing social issues of our times.

*xxviii 2. Impacts of the U.S. "War on Terror" on Latin America

Somewhat surprisingly, the so-called war on terror, including but not limited to the war in Iraq, conducted by the United States is not discussed in the Colloquium papers. The events of September 11, 2001 have had impacts far beyond the United States. Security controls have had immigration consequences for many other groups besides Arab and Muslim noncitizens, the initial group focused upon in the heightened national security measures. [FN88] Moreover, the United States, and a coalition of nations, waged war in Afghanistan and Iraq. The terrorism that hit Spain in 2004, and the subsequent withdrawal of Spanish (as well as Honduran and Dominican) troops from Iraq, shows the international reverberations of the U.S. government's war on terror. [FN89]

The war, ongoing for several years, implicates political and economic, as well as foreign relations concerns. The continuing conflict has had a dramatic impact on the view of the United States in the world community, particularly in the Arab and Muslim world. At this time, it is uncertain what long term impacts this will have on racial subordination in the United States, Latin America, and other countries.

The revelations in spring 2004 about the mistreatment of Iraqi prisoners by U.S. forces and its global impacts occurred too recently to have been *xxix discussed at a 2003 colloquium. [FN90] However, the wars in Afghanistan and Iraq have dragged on, thus affording LatCrit theorists the opportunity for the sustained analysis of the wars' impacts on the world. [FN91]
CONCLUSION

The contributions to the LatCrit 2004 Colloquium on International and Comparative Law in Buenos Aires, Argentina in August 2003 offer much food for thought about the racisms and classisms of our times. At the same time, we must keep our eye on the scholarly ball. Efforts should be made to consider critical economic, social, and political issues and to not reinvent the wheel.

To maximize the utility of the LatCrit International and Comparative Law Colloquia in the future, steps should be taken that ensure that important, and pressing, issues of the day are discussed in the event. Moreover, care should be taken to ensure that publishable papers are submitted on those issues as well. A number of possibilities have been mentioned in this Foreword. It also may well be that, as in other disciplines of academic discourse, it is time for a general editor to be assigned to each symposium issue, with power to make selection and editorial decisions for each of the symposia and colloquia.
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